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## Preface

$A$lthough English is described as a Germanic language, barely half of English words are of Germanic origin. English is a polyglot language that has borrowed words from almost every other language across the globe. The result is an extraordinary mixture of spellings that are either a great irritation or a source of fascination to the student. However, time and usage have tended to blend all the various spellings into a number of distinct groups, each of which have their own rules.

This book attempts to show that English spelling is not an illogical mish-mash of sounds and letters. On the contrary, English spelling does indeed have logical rules that govern how the words are spelled.

Many people believe that there can only be guides to English spelling and that because of its complexity, strict rules could not possibly exist. But I believe this to be illogical. English is the most important language in the world. It's used in both diplomacy and international business and in every form of communication. When every word in a written communication must be clear and unambiguous, it is obvious that spelling must follow basic rules.

For a spelling rule to have validity, it must prove to be correct in a very high percentage of cases. When the number of exceptions to the rule is too high, then the rule is not valid. Almost all the spelling rules in this book are valid to a very high level. At least two of the rules are completely valid and have no exceptions whatsoever.

Because of the unique history of the language there are often exceptions to many of the rules, but when compared to the number of words that do indeed follow the rule, these exceptions often prove to be quite rare. It is interesting to note that when a particular spelling changes or an incorrect spelling becomes popular, it is often a move toward the spelling rule than a move away. It is clear that those who use the language want conformity to logical spelling rules.

Strange as it may seem, considering the importance of spelling, not much school time is devoted to spelling. Almost all the formal instruction is in the primary grades, and this usually consists of the memorization of a weekly list of twenty words. The emphasis is on rote memorization and the students are given little if any explanation of why the words are spelled that way. The amount of time devoted to spelling decreases in the middle grades, and in high school it is not taught as a subject. There are no college or university courses in spelling, nor are students in teacher training colleges given spelling proficiency tests. The result is that many classroom teachers may be poorly equipped to teach spelling. Adults who are good spellers will find that their skill is due to constant reading rather than any actual study of spelling. They remember the correct way to spell a word and perhaps, by recognizing the root word and the various affixes, they can trace the origin of the word. But they do not know why the word is spelled that way.

While this book is intended as a valuable textbook for students of English, I hope that readers who already have a good grasp of the English language will find it extremely interesting and that it helps illuminate a long neglected corner of the English language.

## Introduction

$E_{\text {nglish has been described as a "borrowing" language, and this is undeniably true. Although English is }}$ called a Germanic language, probably only half the words in the dictionary are of Germanic origin. The rest we have acquired from Latin and a score of other languages. English is thus a polyglot language that has always borrowed words from other languages and always will, so that today we can say that almost every language in the world has contributed at least a few words to English.

The Germans and Scandinavians, who invaded Britain after the Romans departed, each brought a different dialect, sometimes even a different language. There were Angles and Saxons from what is now Germany, Jutes and Danes from the land we now call Denmark, Frisians from the Netherlands, and Vikings from Norway and Sweden. Although the invaders all strove to carve out separate kingdoms on the island they had invaded, their languages gradually blended into a common tongue, but one with many regional variations. This was the period that produced the richness and the extraordinary diversity of regional accents and dialects that exist to this day in the British Isles.

The French that the Normans brought to England was not quite the same as the French spoken in other parts of France. This is because the Normans were descendants of the "Northmen," or Vikings, who had settled in France. But their language was undeniably French, and it infused the Anglo-Saxon language with a very heavy dose of Latin-based words.

More Latin words arrived during the medieval period, when Latin was the international language of Europe, and the church, the universities, diplomacy, law, commerce, and many governments conducted their business in Latin. With the renewed interest in the classics during the Renaissance and the surge in literacy, Greek also became a subject of study and it too gave us many words.

The English language absorbed countless thousands of these new words, and while many of them were adapted to fit English speech patterns or grammar, quite a few remained untouched. As the English people became more involved in Continental affairs more new words filtered into their language, and if these words appeared to have value they were eagerly adopted. This pattern of adopt and adapt can be traced back to the earliest years and has served the language well.

War and trade also added large numbers of new words to the English language as ships manned by English-speaking sailors, both commercial and naval, ventured far and wide and set up trading stations or military outposts. With the growth of the British Empire, the pace accelerated and the English language spread around the globe. Each contact with another culture and another language almost always resulted in the acquisition of yet more new words. Meanwhile, in the New World, English-speaking North Americans were adding their own fascinating collection of new words with new meanings and new spellings.

For centuries, the English language has thus accumulated words rather like the mythical dragon adding constantly to its hoard, no matter the origin of the treasure. Not satisfied with just one word to describe an object or an action, the language eagerly snatched yet another and another. Today the English language has more words than any of the Romance or Germanic languages and probably more than any other major language.

The Germans and Scandinavians, who invaded Britain after the Romans departed, each brought a different dialect, sometimes even a different language.

There is no disputing the fact that English is the most important language in the world today. It is an
international language that has a number of advantages over most other languages. English grammar is quite simple, almost all the nouns are neuter, the subjunctive hardly exists, the difference between formal and informal address is rarely used, contractions are used extensively, the possessive is usually contracted, and we simply add a word to create the future tense. English is a simple and uncomplicated language that is easily learned and, when used correctly, permits clear communication with little chance of serious misunderstanding.

For centuries, there was general illiteracy and spelling was not important. Even after the invention of the printing press, when the ability to read and write became more common, the meaning of a word and its pronunciation were of prime importance, but how the word was spelled was not important. Well into the 18th century, most writers spelled words the way they thought they should be spelled. This, unfortunately, is reflected all too often in modern spelling.

Dr. Samuel Johnson did not, as many people believe, write the first dictionary of the English language. There had been previous attempts but they were quite limited in scope and cannot be compared to the masterpiece that Johnson produced. Unfortunately, Dr. Johnson appeared on the stage of history at the wrong time.

The 18th century was a time of turmoil and transition during which the English language was changing rapidly. Up to that time, English had not been taken very seriously by the upper classes, who learned Latin and Greek in school and greatly admired one's ability to converse in French. However, the writers and thinkers of that period were beginning to take a careful look at the English language and especially at the sad state of English spelling. To correct obvious errors, some writers tried to apply the rules of Latin or French, whereas others looked back to Shakespeare and some delved into the Anglo-Saxon or even German for the correct spelling of English words, producing such words as musique or musick. But their efforts were wasted. The version of English spoken in southeastern England was rapidly being accepted as the language of all England, and if it had been left alone to evolve and mature, we can speculate that English spelling would also have evolved.

However, the good Dr. Johnson, who was born in 1709, produced his masterpiece right in the middle of this period of change and, in effect, helped to freeze English spelling. There was a move at that time to standardize spelling, and one of the more famous proponents of standardization was Johnson's patron, Lord Chesterfield. Unfortunately, he and the doctor did not agree on many matters. Johnson heaped scorn on the very idea that spelling could be regulated. He wrote, "may the lexicographer be derided who ... shall imagine that his dictionary can embalm his language and secure it from corruption and decay....'

Today the English language has more words than any of the Romance or Germanic languages and probably more than any other major language.

Johnson contented himself with explaining the meanings of the words in his dictionary with numerous quotations and brilliant observations. He showed how the words were to be used, but as to the spelling, he preferred the status quo. Not only did he retain obvious inconsistencies, but he even added to them. His most famous was his addition of the letter $p$ to receipt. Despite his foibles, Dr. Johnson must be recognized as one of the great men of English literature.

Noah Webster
Noah Webster was born in 1758 in Connecticut almost fifty years after Dr. Johnson. A product of impeccable Puritan and Pilgrim English ancestry, he was nevertheless a staunchly patriotic Yankee and an enthusiastic supporter of the American Revolution. He was a brilliant student and a prolific writer and publisher who made many influential friends, including George Washington. Webster traveled widely
throughout the young republic and, among other things, persuaded Congress to pass the first copyright laws. He was a schoolmaster with an intense interest in language reform.

In 1786, Webster was in Philadelphia listening to Benjamin Franklin's proposal for a completely new alphabet. Franklin had even had special type made up but, fortunately, Webster rejected the idea as too radical. Webster had already produced a grammar book, and in 1783 he produced his first spelling book, which soon became extremely popular as the American Spelling Book or Webster's Blue-Backed Speller.

Webster made frequent revisions to his spelling book, changing, improving, and enlarging, but always striving to "extirpate the improprieties ... to reform the abuses and corruptions which ... tincture the conversation. ${ }^{11}$ His spelling book quickly became one of the best selling books in the history of American publishing. At one time there were over a hundred publishers producing the book legally and countless pirated editions. It was in continuous publication for well over one hundred years.

The version of English spoken in southeastern England was rapidly being accepted as the language of all England, and if it had been left alone to evolve and mature, we can speculate that English spelling would also have evolved.

The difference between Dr. Johnson and Noah Webster is clear. The former was primarily interested in the meaning of the words and their correct usage. To Dr. Johnson, the spelling was of little importance. The practical American, on the other hand, while stressing correct usage, was very interested in correct pronunciation and spelling. Webster, who had traveled in Europe, was fluent in a number of languages and had studied Anglo-Saxon, so his suggestions as to the "cleansing" of the English language were based on serious study. Although many of his original improvements in spelling were never adopted, it is surprising just how many of them were eventually accepted. Many of the words in our current dictionaries, on both sides of the Atlantic, are spelled according to Webster. In the introduction to his dictionary in 1806 Noah Webster wrote with uncanny foresight:

In each of the countries peopled by Englishmen, a distinct dialect will gradually be formed; the principal of which will be that of the United States. In fifty years from this time American English will be spoken by more people, than any other dialect of the language, and in one hundred and thirty years, by more people than any other language on the globe.... ${ }^{2}$

## SpeLLING REFORM

Noah Webster was the first lexicographer to attempt to bring some kind of order to English spelling. His arguments were based on a thorough knowledge of the subject and laced with a heavy dose of common sense. In the preface to his Compendious Dictionary of the English Language, he took great pains to explain his reasoning. Let us use his words to look first at the centre-center, theatre-theater problem.

We have a few words of another class which remain as outlaws in orthography. These are such as end in re, as sceptre, theatre, metre, mitre, nitre, lustre, sepulchre, spectre, and a few others.... It is among the inconsistencies which meet our observation in every part of orthography that the French nombre, chambre, disastre, disordre, etc. ... should be converted into number, chamber, disaster, disorder, etc. confirmable to the pronunciation, and that lustre, sceptre, metre, and a few others should be permitted to wear their foreign livery³.

The difference between Dr. Johnson and Noah Webster is clear. The former was primarily interested in the meaning of the words and their correct usage. To Dr. Johnson, the spelling was of little importance. The practical American, on the other hand, while stressing correct usage, was very interested in correct pronunciation and spelling.

He supports this statement by pointing out that the great English writers Newton, Dryden, Shaftsbury, Hook, Middleton, et al., wrote these words in the "regular English manner."

Further on, Webster writes:
The present practice is not only contrary to the general uniformity... but is inconsistent with itself; for Peter, a proper name, is always written in the English manner; while salt petre, the word, derived from the same original, is written in the French manner. Metre also retains its French spelling, while the same word in composition, as in diameter, barometer, and thermometer, is conformed to the English orthography. Such palpable inconsistencies and preposterous anomalies do no honor to English literature, but very much perplex the student, and offend the man of taste. ${ }^{4}$

From this, we can see that Webster, far from demanding radical change, was only insisting that English spelling conform to historical spelling rules. He was actually very conservative.

We may again use Noah Webster's own words in the problem of labor-labour and honor-honour :
To purify our orthography from corruptions and restore to words their genuine spelling, we ought to reject u from honor, candor, error, and others of this class. Under the Norman princes... to preserve a trace of their originals, the o of the Latin honor, as well as the u of the French honeur was retained... our language was disfigured with a class of mongrels. splendour, inferiour, superiour, authour, and the like, which are neither Latin nor French, nor calculated to exhibit the English pronunciation. ${ }^{5}$

Noah Webster was the first lexicographer to attempt to bring some kind of order to English spelling.
He continues:
The palpable absurdity of inserting u in primitive words, when it must be omitted in the derivations, superiority, inferiority, and the like; for no person ever wrote superiourity, inferiourity... ${ }^{6}$

Again we can see that Webster was demanding conformity in spelling including a strict adherence to the basic rules, for, as he wrote in an earlier paragraph, "Uniformity is a prime excellence in the rules of language."

Another interesting difference between English and American spelling is the double $l$. The spelling rule for doubling the consonant when adding a suffix is quite clear. Part of the rule states that in words of more than one syllable, the final consonant shall not be doubled unless the accent falls on the final syllable. For example, regret-regretted. British spelling adheres to this rule except when the word ends in an $l$. Then, for some yet to be explained reason, the rule is abandoned and the $l$ is doubled no matter where the accent happens to be. For example, travel-travelled. This double $l$ can be seen in other strange places, such as, chili-chilli, woolen-woollen.

However, there are at least half a dozen cases where the situation is reversed and the British spell the
word with only one 1, while the Americans, for no logical reason, spell it with two. For example:

| British | American | British | American |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| instil | instill | skilful | skillful |
| wilful | willful | distil | distill |
| enrol | enroll | fulfil | fulfill |
| instal | install |  |  |

Noah Webster would have had something quite scathing to say about "such palpable inconsistencies and preposterous anomalies."

In American spelling, there is a conscious attempt to simplify while retaining the correct sound and meaning, especially in the case of multiple letters. British English still retains numerous examples of double consonants where a single consonant would be quite sufficient, for example, worshipping and focuss. American simplification extends especially to triple vowels. Whether they are diphthongs or not, we feel that two vowels should be enough to produce the desired sound. Fortunately, many of the British triple vowel words are slowly disappearing, for example, diarrhoea-diarrhea and manoeuvremaneuver. Retaining an unneeded and unhelpful extra letter is illogical when we remember that the prime function of language is clear communication.

Since Noah Webster's time there have been a number of attempts to reform, or at least to improve, English spelling. They vary from the thoughtful to the ludicrous. At the present time there is a widespread belief that perhaps English spelling could be made more phonetic, despite the fact that English is not a completely phonetic language. Roughly half of our words are already spelled phonetically, but the other half could never be spelled according to the rules of phonics without utter chaos. In the words of the great writer Jonathan Swift,

Another cause ... which hath contributed not a little to the maiming of our language, is a foolish Opinion, advanced of late years, that we ought to spell exactly as we speak, which besides the obvious inconvenience of utterly destroying our Etymology, would be a thing we should never see the end of. ${ }^{\text {? }}$

This is not to say that spelling is sacrosanct and should never be allowed to change; on the contrary, our spelling is constantly changing, sometimes at glacial speed, other times quite rapidly. But not all change is for the better. A change in spelling is acceptable if it purges the original word of superfluous letters or illogical construction. Simplification is to be encouraged only if it does not change the meaning of the original word in any way. It is imperative that the new spelling conform to the spelling rules and that it resemble the original word as closely as possible. Care should be taken to try to avoid the creation of yet another homophone or homograph.

Many attempts to reform English spelling have been targeted at the alphabet. George Bernard Shaw left the bulk of his fortune to a committee charged with producing a better alphabet, but with no success. On the other hand, the 19th century geniuses who produced the International Phonetic Alphabet were very successful and the IPA, has proved immensely valuable.

Probably the most famous person to tackle the problem was Benjamin Franklin. Although he was a friend of Noah Webster and an enthusiastic supporter of Webster's work, he was much more radical than Webster. Franklin designed an alphabet containing six new letters, and he eliminated the $c$ in favor of the $s$ and the $k$. He showed his specially carved type to Webster, but Webster declined to use it. Initially, Webster had proposed quite a few revolutionary changes to English spelling, but the resistance that he encountered soon persuaded him that the average person was-and still is-not prepared to accept extraordinary changes to his or her mother tongue. Although Webster gradually modified his suggestions, quite a large number of his improvements were eventually accepted on both sides of the Atlantic.

## Melville (Melvil) Dewey

The second half of the 19th century saw a renewal of interest in spelling reform. In 1875, the American Philological Society, working with the Philological Society of London, formed a committee, and within a year, in August, 1876, the International Convention for the Amendment of English Orthography met in Philadelphia. There was great enthusiasm for the project. Leading scholars from the best American and British universities, as well as writers and statesmen from both sides of the Atlantic, hastened to support this worthwhile endeavor. Almost immediately, the Spelling Reform Association was formed and they quickly elected as its secretary the controversial genius Melville Dewey.

Dewey was an extremely interesting character whom we may perhaps call the first efficiency expert. While still an undergraduate at Amherst College he worked out a more efficient method for cataloging books. This eventually became the Dewey Decimal System, for which he is best remembered. He also helped found the American Library Association, was editor of numerous library journals, and in 1887 created the Columbia University School of Library Economy. He was twice elected president of the American Library Association.

Dewey did not limit himself to books and libraries. He was also an enthusiastic proponent of the metric system and worked long and hard to get the authorities to abandon the archaic English system of weights and measures and adopt the new, highly efficient metric system. His arguments were irrefutable, yet today, one hundred years later, the United States is the only industrialized country in the world to still cling to that ancient and cumbersome system.

Dewey took keen interest in anything that could be made more efficient. He was an advocate for the greater use of abbreviations, arguing logically that we use M.D. and Ph.D. without pronouncing the entire title and we always refer to the national capitol as Washington, D.C. Why not apply this simplification to other things? Today the U.S. Postal Service uses a two-letter abbreviation for every state in the union.

When the Spelling Reform Association was created, Dewey was in his element and, with his unbounded enthusiasm, he became the driving force behind the movement. He even changed his name to "Melvil," and, for a short period, wrote his name "Dui," though he eventually changed it back. When critics complained about the proposed changes he pointed out that gossip, gizzard, and gospel had once been spelled ghossip, ghizzard, and ghospel, so why not simplify ghost and ghastly? He reminded critics that English spelling constantly changes, almost always in the direction of simpler and more logical spelling. He once estimated that learning spelling wasted two to three years of the average student's schooling.

One editor poked fun at Dewey's suggestion that we drop the unnecessary ue in catalogue and asked what we should do when we drop the $u e$ in glue. Apart from the fact that the editor was deliberately confusing the spelling rules, we see today that Dewey was correct. Catalog is now the accepted spelling.

The Greek $p h$ that sometimes seems to saturate our spelling was another irritant to Dewey. If we have fancy, he asked, why do we still have phantom? Throughout his career he used fonic for phonic and urged the complete eradication of the $p h$.

Dewey saw at once that the spelling reform movement would need money to promulgate its views, and so he contacted Andrew Carnegie for financial support. Although Carnegie was putting large sums of his immense fortune into public libraries, he was still a hard-headed businessman. His letters to Dewey usually included demands to "show me some results." Dewy was persuasive, however, and Carnegie supported the reform movement, not only with hard cash but also with a steady stream of letters to the leading newspapers in support of spelling reform. By 1906, Carnegie had increased his support to a generous $\$ 15,000$ per year.

In his demands for "results," Carnegie insisted that Dewey send him a list of influential persons who had positively affirmed that they had accepted and would use a minimum of ten of the new spellings. Interestingly, among the selected words were catalog, decalog, demagog, pedagog, prolog, and
program, all of which are now fully accepted.
The spelling reform movement received enthusiastic support from numerous prestigious organizations. The American Association for the Advancement of Science, the National Education Association, and the Modern Language Association all supported reform and the powerful Chicago Tribune likewise threw its weight behind the campaign.

The movement soon caught the attention of Theodore Roosevelt, who was a friend of Andrew Carnegie. With his usual energy, Roosevelt leapt right in. He used many of the "reformed" words in his election campaign and, it is claimed, was the first to use thru instead of through. Cannily waiting until congress was safely out of session, the president gave a list of three hundred words to the government printers and ordered them to use only those spellings. There was an immediate uproar and, as soon as congress reconvened, the printers were ordered to go back to the original spellings.

As early as 1886, the reformers had begun to compile a list of amended spellings. Starting cautiously with only one dozen "crucial" words that included tho, altho, thoro, thorofare, thru, and thruout, they quickly compiled even longer lists so that within a very short while the list of amended spellings was about 3,600 words long. In 1898, the National Education Association gave its approval to the twelve crucial words. The Modern Language Association had done so five years earlier.

After the initial burst of enthusiasm, there followed years of hard work. Lists were compiled, committees were formed, experts argued endlessly, and a steady stream of letters and bulletins were sent out. The reformers worked hard to persuade publishers and editors of dictionaries to adopt the reformed spellings and The Century Dictionary was persuaded to add the new words as an appendix with an introduction, while the Standard Dictionary of the English Language (1893) incorporated all the amended spellings into its listings.

In 1915, there appeared A Dictionary of Simplified Spelling from the New Standard Dictionary of the English Language; and Based on the United States Bureau of Education and the Rules of the American Philological Association and the Simplified Spelling Board. It was hoped that this, along with other smaller dictionaries and word lists that had been previously published, would forever reform English spelling.

However, Andrew Carnegie died and with his death, the essential funding dried up. Oral support alone could not pay the bills and the Spelling Reform Association, under its able secretary Melvil Dewey, could not find another generous sponsor. The lack of support can, perhaps, be traced to the fact that Dewey was openly anti-Semitic and had made many enemies in the business community.

Some smaller groups tried valiantly to carry on the struggle, but more important international matters filled the newspapers. A new generation of professors appeared in the universities, and the publishers and editors began to look upon spelling reform as a lost cause. In England the Simplified Spelling Society, which was founded in 1908, still keeps the flag flying bravely, but it is essentially ignored, while in the United States, largest of the English speaking countries, spelling reform is rarely if ever discussed.

Unfortunately, the failure of the reform movement brought about a reaction. Beginning in the late 1930s, various self-proclaimed experts in the field of education declared spelling to be unimportant. By the 1960s, most school report cards no longer gave a grade for spelling. Teachers were told not to "waste time" teaching correct spelling, and the spelling bee and other spelling competitions were dropped from most school programs. Their place was taken by "sight reading" and "creative spelling" along with a serious but misguided reliance on phonics, with the tragic result that a whole generation of adults, including teachers, has grown up to believe the myth that English spelling has no rules and that trying to understand English spelling is therefore a waste of time.

Was spelling reform a wasted effort? The question begs to be asked. Most of the great names in academia on both sides of the Atlantic, supported by numerous respected societies and organizations, put long years of hard work into something that they truly believed was worthwhile. The movement received
the support of statesmen and businessmen and quite a few editors, and yet today it appears to have been for nothing.

A number of good things did come from the renewed interest in spelling, the most important of which was the International Phonetic Alphabet, which consists of a separate symbol for every speech sound the human mouth is capable of producing, no matter what the position of the lips or the tongue. Obviously, there are a great number of these symbols and the IPA is astonishingly complex, but it works well and scholars across the globe, especially linguists, would be lost without it. A simplified version may be found in any good dictionary. Originating about 1860, the IPA has been improved and enlarged and is constantly being revised. Those thinkers of the past who longed for a completely new alphabet based purely on phonics now had what they wanted.

During the early years of the 20th century, there were many who seriously suggested that traditional alphabets should be abandoned and that the IPA, or a modified version of it, should be the basis of all written communication. The heady idea of an international alphabet caught on and, up to about the 1930s, dozens of small books were printed in the new alphabet, mostly in England and Germany. Unfortunately, the movement ran head-on against Esperanto, a language invented by the Polish philologist L. Zamenhof in 1887. Designed as an international language that did not require a special alphabet, it was enormously popular for a number of years. Esperanto is still enjoyed by many, even today, but it is doubtful that it will ever become a truly international language.

The spelling reformers had not wasted their time. It is true that the majority of the suggested new spellings were rejected or even laughed at, but it is also true that quite a few of the suggested spellings are today in general use or given as alternate spellings in most dictionaries. What is just as valuable in the long run is the realization that spelling can change and that new spellings could possibly be more logical and quite acceptable.

A good example is the advertising industry. Before the reform movement, most written advertising was pedantic, long winded, and verbose. The reform movement opened the floodgates, and new spellings, new words and new meanings poured from the printing presses. Much to the dismay of the purists, the advertising world took reform and phonics to its heart and proceeded to spell things in new and eyecatching ways. It still does.

One of the reasons that the spelling reform movement was not a complete success was British stubbornness. Many distinguished scholars from London and Oxford joined the movement at the very beginning and supported it to the very end, but it must be remembered that the British people had not yet accepted the completely logical spelling corrections that Noah Webster had introduced a century earlier. While the Americans were already using color and center, the British still had to be persuaded of the correctness of these and many other similar words. The delegates had to persuade their countrymen on the other side of the Atlantic, and in this they largely failed. At that time, when the British Empire was at the height of its power, the British saw the project as an American idea and almost completely ignored it. To this day, British dictionaries still largely ignore the improvements of Noah Webster and those that came from the spelling reform movement, although some dictionaries do mention them as Americanisms.

But Britain is changing rapidly. The isolation is gone. The younger generation see themselves as part of Europe and the world, and many Englishmen speak a second language and regularly go abroad for their holidays. The new generation does not reject the idea that perhaps the modern spellings make more sense than the traditional ones.

A careful study of the more than 3,000 words contained in the Amended Spellings Recommended by the Philological Society of London and the American Philological Association (1886) can be a surprisingly rewarding experience. The reformers did not fail, nor was their time wasted. They saw what was wrong with English spelling and they logically and systematically corrected the errors. The fact that the English-speaking world did not immediately accept all their recommendations does not mean that they
did not do their work well. On the contrary, they did so thorough a job that the results were too many to be assimilated all at once.

The modern reader has to admire the logic of their thinking, but, at the same time, the reader is repulsed by the strangeness and, at times, the awkwardness of many of the proposed spellings. Changing one's language after spending nearly twenty years learning it is not an easy thing to do.

When criticized because many reformed words did not look like the original word, Melvil Dewey coined the phrase "visual prejudice." He was quite right. Good readers are visual readers, and the faster we read, the more we rely on word recognition. We are long past the stage where we sound out each syllable of every word and we barely glance at the outline of a word before instantly recognizing it. Aided by context, we recognize, understand, and process dozens of words in seconds. For this very reason, a typographical error or a spelling error usually stands out clearly and because it clashes with what we know to be correct, it interrupts our reading and is an irritant.

For example, let us take the words scribbled and measurable, which in the amended spelling appear as scribld and mezurabl. It is clear that this is the way they are pronounced and the loss of a few superfluous letters should make little difference. But they seem strange and clumsy. We are prejudiced in favor of the older, more illogical spelling. Noah Webster was correct when he wrote, "No great changes should be made at once ... but gradual change."

In their enthusiasm, the reformers attempted to eliminate all the illogicalities once and for all, as in the following examples.

Many words end in a silent $e$ that performs no useful function. It does not modify the vowel that precedes it, nor is it sounded.

| candle | candl | massive | massiv |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bundle | bundl | proscriptive | proscriptiv |
| engine | engin | punitive | punitiv |
| practice | practis | subversive | subversiv |

Unfortunately, this correction clashes with several spelling rules. Few, if any, commonly used English words end in a plain $v$, and when the $l$ follows a consonant, it too is rarely alone. Usually it is $l e, e l$, or $a l$.

Occasionally the $e d$ of the past tense sounds like a $t$. The reformers spelled a great number of these words with a simple $t$ and dropped the $e$ from most of the others.

| asked | askt | lived | livd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| laughed | laft | faltered | falterd |
| jerked | jerkt | joggled | jogld |
| fished | fisht | killed | kild |

Here again the improvements clash with the spelling rules. The past tense of English verbs is usually $e d$. The exceptions are actually very few. The $t$ sound only occurs after certain letters and is quite rare. When the reader sees that final ed, it is instantly recognized as the past tense and complete understanding of the concept is instantaneous. In its place, the reformers gave us a confusing mixture of past tenses.

Double consonants are a major problem in English. Because few other languages are so cluttered with double consonants as English, the reformers removed as many as possible.

| add | ad | meddle | medl |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bull | bul | puzzle | puzl |
| cell | cel | suelled | sweld |
| kill | kil | quibble | quibl |

Again, the spelling rule is quite clear as to when, and when not, to double the consonant, and the reformers ignored the fact that a double consonant usually indicates a short vowel. It appears that the reformers were torn between following the spelling rules while purging superfluous consonants on the one hand and simply abandoning all the spelling rules on the other. As a result, there are quite a few anomalies scattered throughout their list of amended spellings.

A major source of irritation in English spelling is the ough, augh, igh anachronism. This ancient spelling should have faded away centuries ago. The reformers purged it completely.

| cough | cof | haughty | hauty |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| laugh | laf | hiccough | hiccup |
| through | thru | plough | plow |
| though | tho | rough | ruf |

Here, we can only praise the reformers and wish they had modernized every single word in this group.
That Greek nuisance, the $p h$, was also eliminated. The reformers reasoned that because the Greeks used only one letter for that particular sound, we should do the same.

| phonetic | fonetic | phenomenon | fenomenon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| alphabet | alfabet | phonograph fonograf |  |
| lymph | lymf | pharmacy | farmacy |
| digraph | digraf | philosophy | flosofy |

For this, we can again praise the reformers.
There are times when $g$ must be followed by a $u$ in order to achieve the correct hard sound, but there are also numerous cases where the $u$ is superfluous and the reformers removed it.

| colleague | colleag | analogue | analog |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| guaranty | garanty | catalogue | catalog |
| guard | gard | dialogue | dialog |
| guild | gild | synagogue | synagog |

Today, at least four of the examples given above are often spelled in the reformed manner.
French spellings, particularly suffixes, can be a hazard in English spelling and these also were eliminated wherever possible.

| gazelle | gazel | epaulette | epaulet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| gazette | gazet | petit | petty |
| cigarette | cigaret |  |  |

There were not many of these because Webster had eliminated most of them a century earlier.
Needless to say, there were numerous other changes made, including the removal of silent letters. They removed the silent $t$ in etch and crutch. They did the same with the silent $b$ in debt and doubt. The useless $h$ in ghost and ghastly was exorcized, and even the $s$ in island went its lonely way. There were, of course, vowel changes too numerous to list.

Some of the changes were quite strange. The word school was changed to scool, retaining the $c$ where a $k$ would have been more logical, yet the word sceptic was correctly respelled skeptic. Today this is the preferred spelling. Another logical spelling that was accepted almost immediately was saltpeter instead of saltpetre. Strangely enough, the committee made no change to sceptre except to transpose the $e$ and the $r$, though they left the silent $c$ in place. However, the word scimitar was changed to cimitar instead of the more logical simitar.

If we look at the work of the reformers from a purely logical point of view, we must agree that they did an excellent job. However, a language is more than just symbols on paper. It is much more personal. It is too deep a part of the culture of those who use it and who have spent a great deal of time and effort perfecting their use of it. Any tampering with something so important is bound to meet stiff resistance.

## Four Guidelines for Spelling Reform

There are four basic points that should always be borne in mind when considering spelling changes. Perhaps if we look at the work of the reformers from this point of view, we may be able to see more clearly why their efforts were largely ignored.
(1) A change in spelling is acceptable if it purges the original word of superfluous letters or illogical construction.
(2) Simplification is to be encouraged only if it does not change the meaning in any way or create yet another homophone or homograph.
(3) In all cases it is imperative that the new spelling conform to the spelling rules.
(4) "...and that it resemble, as closely as possible, the original word.
(1) "A change in spelling is acceptable if it purges the original word of superfluous letters or illogical construction." It is clear that the reformers did this, often with excessive enthusiasm.
(2) "Simplification is to be encouraged only if it does not change the meaning in any way or create yet another homophone or homograph." Here the reformers made too many mistakes. Considering the high quality of the academics who made up most of the committees, it is truly astonishing the number of times that the reformed word was simply a homonym and bound to cause confusion.

| heart hart | quay key | plumb plum |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bread | bred | rhyme rime | queue cue |  |  |
| bussed | bust | chilled child | scent | sent |  |
| caste | cast | joust | just |  |  |

(3) "In all cases it is imperative that the new spelling conform to the spelling rules." It is all too clear that the spelling rules were largely ignored by the reformers. Perhaps they saw these rules as traditions that had to be broken in order to get the job done, or perhaps they hoped to create new spelling rules that were more logical. Whatever their reasoning, it is clear that they wasted little effort attempting to make their spellings conform to the traditional English spelling rules.
(4) "...and that it resemble, as closely as possible, the original word." In this vital matter, the reformers failed completely. There was little if any attempt to cater to the "visual prejudice" of the general public. Too many of the new words appear ungainly, awkward, and down right ugly. Many are so different in appearance that the reader has to pause a while in order to assimilate them. When all things are considered, it is probably this last factor that was mainly responsible for the lack of interest shown by the general public and the ultimate decline of the reform movement.

There is little doubt that elitism and snobbery were important factors in the defeat of the spelling reformers. At the time the reformers were working, the great cities of the eastern United States were swarming with new immigrants, most of whom were low-class laborers with just a smattering of English. In England and in America, the people who migrated to the cities from rural areas were hardly much better, as few had much education.

It can take up to twenty years for a person to acquire a near perfect grasp of English, and it usually takes both time and money, two things not available to the average working man at that time. The result was a small but powerful elite that read books, newspapers, and journals and prided itself on the ability to use both the spoken and the written word with ease and skill. The standard of literacy and fluency was very high indeed-for the few. This is not to say that it was only the children of the rich and powerful who were well educated. History is full of examples of men and women of very humble origins who acquired a near perfect grasp of the English language through extraordinary perseverance. Abraham Lincoln is an excellent example.

But the common working man, who was most in need of a better education, was not asked for his opinion of the work of the spelling reformers. The most violent criticism of reform came from newspaper editors, writers, and statesmen, all of whom saw it as an attack on that which they valued the most-their excellent grasp of English and their hard earned knowledge of its intricacies and subtleties. We could
compare this resistance to the medieval guild masters protecting their craft and craftsmen from interlopers.

Today, when literacy is all but universal, we can look back with some astonishment at the way in which spelling reform was rejected and the virulence of those who opposed it. But has one short century made much difference? There is still a great deal of elitism involved in the use of English. One small grammatical error can lower a speaker in the eye of his listener, a little mispronunciation or the wrong accent can do the same. Poor sentence structure can ruin even the best article or e-mail, whereas the clever use of words can make the poorest argument sound convincing.

As for spelling, there is an almost primitive defensive reaction to any spelling mistake discovered in our morning newspaper. We are angry and indignant when we see spelling mistakes in any printed document, whether it is an official publication or merely a hand-delivered leaflet. This reaction occurs just as readily when the misspelled word is one of those ludicrous, illogical, un-phonetic words that should have been "reformed" centuries ago.

There are numerous publications that refuse to use any "modern" spelling. The editors seem to think that analogue is superior to analog, that archaeology with three vowels in a row is more correct than archeology with only two, and they shudder at thru and lite. Unfortunately, such reactionary thinking is not uncommon, even though it is historically and etymologically false and any attempt to radically "improve" English spelling will surely be met by stiff resistance based largely on visual prejudice. In the preface to his 1806 edition, Noah Webster wrote,

The opposers of reform, on the other hand, contend that no alterations should be made in orthography, as they would ... occasion inconvenience.... It is fortunate for the language and for those who use it, that this doctrine did not prevail in the reign of Henry the Fourth ... had all changes in spelling ceased at that period, what a spectacle of deformity would our language now exhibit! Every man ... knows that a living language must necessarily suffer gradual changes in its current words, and in pronunciation ... strange as it may seem the fact is undeniable, that the present doctrine that no change must be made in writing words, is destroying the benefits of an alphabet, The correct principal respecting changes in orthography seems to lie between these extremes of opinion. No great changes shall be made at once... But gradual changes to accommodate the written to the spoken language ... and especially when they purify words from corruptions...are not only proper but indispensable.-

## A Note on Usage in This Book

Throughout this book, I use the phrase "commonly used words." This needs a little explanation. The English language contains over half a million words, more than any other European language, and new words appear almost daily as old words change or disappear. No one person could be familiar with the entire vocabulary. While the average educated person uses only a tiny fraction of these words, he or she is familiar with, and will recognize, a much greater number. Although the words used in this book can be found in any good dictionary, I have attempted to keep to a bare minimum the use of names, technical and scientific terms, and rare or obscure words.

I use the terms "stem" and "root" when referring to the basic word before affixes have been added. In the majority of cases, the stems will be recognizable words, but, over time, many of these words have vanished or been drastically changed, and yet the stem with its affix has remained. For example, we use the words invoke, provoke, and revoke, and it is clear that the in, pro, and re are prefixes. But the root word voke no longer exists.

## Сhapter 1

Syllables
$C_{\text {lear and careful pronunciation is of immense value when one is faced with a spelling problem. }}$ Breaking a word into its component syllables is the best approach to clear pronunciation, which brings us to the question, just what is the correct way to divide a word into syllables?

Most dictionaries, texts, and guides are quite useless, as there are both complete confusion and numerous contradictions. If we look up a word in three different guides, we will probably get three different choices, sometimes four. This is unfortunate because correct syllabification is a great help to both correct pronunciation and correct spelling.

Words are merely sounds strung together to form recognizable combinations. The heart of each sound is a vowel or diphthong. The vowel sound will be either short or long, and each syllable must contain the vowel or diphthong plus the consonants that give it that particular sound. Let us look at the short vowel sound first.

Spelling rule \#1: Closed syllables consist of a vowel followed by a consonant. They are almost always short vowels.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { an/at/om/ic/al } & \text { in/spec/tor } & \mathrm{um} / \mathrm{bil} / \mathrm{ic} / \mathrm{al} \\
\mathrm{em} / \mathrm{bar} / \mathrm{ras} / \mathrm{sing} & \text { op/pos/sum } &
\end{array}
$$

In a closed syllable the vowel may be followed by two or more consonants and still retain the short vowel sound.

| ack | ick | uck |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ing | end | ill |
| odge | edge | udge |

Note that these blends or digraphs form an essential part of the syllable and must remain with the vowel.

With double consonants, the division is between the two consonants unless they are at the end of the word. Never split a blend or digraph.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { suc/cess } & \text { ill/ness } \\
a c / c i d / e n t & \text { suck/ing }
\end{array}
$$

When the letter $y$ is in a closed syllable it should have the short $i$ sound. When it is in an open syllable, it should have the long $e$ or long $i$ sound.
hymn gyp/sy hap/py ug/ly ty/rant fry

When the $r$ follows the vowel, it creates a unique sound which is very rarely a short vowel sound. This sometimes occurs with the letters $w$ and $l$.

| harm | hernia | burn | down | palm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| torn | fro | dawn | dew | hold |

Spelling rule \#1: Closed syllables consist of a vowel followed by a consonant.
Vowel diphthongs are almost always long vowels and are often in closed syllables, especially in single syllable words.

Spelling rule \#2: An open syllable occurs when the vowel is not followed by a consonant. In most cases the vowel will then be long.

| de/vi/a/tion | cre/ate | si/lent | $u / s u / a l / l y$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $u /$ nique | di/graph | re/main | $a / b l e$ |

Note that the letter $i$ in an open syllable will usually have the long $e$ sound.

$$
\text { pi/an/o } \quad \mathrm{mac} / \mathrm{ar} / \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{ni}
$$

Note that there are some unusual cases where a vowel diphthong produces a short vowel sound.

| head thread <br> bread | leather sweat <br> health <br> dealt <br> cough read <br> rough | plait <br> friend |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |

Note also that there are a few unusual cases where a closed syllable containing only one vowel does not produce a short vowel sound.
busy monk many qoo
The importance of correct syllabification (syl/lab/if/ic/a/tion) cannot be overemphasized. It is not only an important aide to correct spelling, but it also offers clues to the correct pronunciation. Invariably, poor spelling and poor pronunciation go together. Compare the following:

| de/feat | def/fer/rence | sil/ly | si/lent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| o/pen | op/er/ate | ma/ting | mat/ter |
| di/ning | din/ner | ti/tan/ic | tit/u/lar |
| pro/pel | prop/er |  |  |

Spelling rule \#2: An open syllable occurs when the vowel is not followed by a consonant.

## Accents

There are no hard and fast rules covering the placement of the accent. It depends a great deal on the origin of the word, its meaning, and whether it is a verb or a noun. With two-syllable words that are identical (homographs and heteronyms), the accent is placed on the first syllable if it is a noun, whereas the accent is on the second syllable when it is a verb.

| Noun | VERB | Noun | VERB |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| the address | to address | the refuse | to refuse |
| the contract | tocontract | the entrance | to entrance |

With some words, the English prefer to place the accent on the first syllable, whereas North Americans place it on the second or even third syllable.

```
garage dictionary
```

However, there are no clear rules, and so the student must use a good dictionary.

## CHAPTER 2

## Vowels

 $y$ should be included among the vowels whenever possible because it is used as a vowel more often than it is used as a consonant. At times, the letter w acts as an auxiliary vowel when it replaces the letter $u$.

The $y$ (or the Greek $i$, as it is called in Spanish) has two vowel sounds:

$$
\text { fly happy dry ugly } \quad \text { shy slowly }
$$

When the $y$ follows a vowel, it helps form an important diphthong and does the work of the letter $i$.
bay bey boy buy

All the vowels can make more than one vowel sound. There are about twenty different vowel sounds, and they can be spelled using over thirty vowel combinations. Often the same diphthong can be used to produce two, or even three, different vowel sounds.

A thousand years ago, almost all English vowels were short. The long vowel sound and the diphthong came, mostly, from imported and borrowed words. Today the majority of our words still contain these short vowels.

To avoid confusion, any vowel sound that is not clearly a short vowel sound should be called long.

| pat | pet | pit | pot | put |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ache | eat | ice | open | use |

When teaching reading to very small children, we often use the old rhyme, "When two vowels go walking, the first does the talking." The child learns that the first of the two vowels in a diphthong will control the sound and almost always it will be a long vowel sound. Not all diphthongs follow this rule, but a very high percentage do.

| aim | eat | die | boat | true |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| plain | ceiling | pie | coat | suit |

## CHAPTER 3

## Vowel Plus r

In English spelling, some consonants change the sound of the vowel that precedes them without using the silent $e$.

When the $r$ follows a vowel, it almost always creates a new vowel sound and becomes the dominant consonant. Usually, there is a clear pattern, and the student will recognize it quickly. Often, however, the combination of vowel plus $r$ can produce more than one sound. When we include diphthongs or the silent $e$, there are more than three dozen possible sounds.

The following are examples of a single vowel preceding an $r$.
bar argument arm garter ark remark

In this group of words, the $a r$ follows the letters $w$ and $q u$ :
war quart ward wharf warn quarter

This group of words uses er:
mercy were berth her verse clerk

This group of words uses $i r$ :
bird affrom girl dirt third frrst

This group of words uses or:
or sword for north born mortal

In this group of words, the or follows the letter $w$ :
word worship uork world worm worst

One anomaly is the word worn.
This group of words uses ur:
fur church murder burn hurt turkey

One anomaly is the word bury, which is pronounced berry.
In $y r$ words, when the $y$ is followed by $r$, there are three possible sounds:
pyrite, gyrate pyramid, syringe myrrh, myrtle

## CHAPTER 4

## The Apostrophe

$E_{\text {nglish is an extremely flexible language and has a number of advantages over most other languages. }}$ One of these advantages is the humble apostrophe. This tiny mark enables us to communicate faster and with less effort while still retaining clarity.
"Never use an apostrophe when a plural is intended."
The apostrophe is used in the possessive and in contractions, and most native speakers would find it difficult to converse for even ten minutes without using a contraction, nor could they go half a day without using the possessive.

Many languages have no equivalent. For example in Spanish we must say "the house of Mary," whereas in English we reduce these four words to only two and say "Mary's house." Similarly, in most languages we must say "I will not," but in English we reduce this to "I won't."

Spelling rule \#1: The possessive apostrophe indicates ownership. To use the possessive apostrophe simply add an apostrophe to the noun plus the letter s , then add the subject that is under discussion.

$$
\text { the president's speech } \quad \text { Paul's dog } \quad \text { the dog's tail }
$$

Words ending in $y$ do not change the $y$ to $i$.

Spelling rule \#2: If the noun already ends in an $s$ or if the noun is plural, the apostrophe is added after the $s$.

| Charles' shirt | James' car <br> the ladies' dresses |
| :---: | :---: |
| the elephants' feet |  |

If the plural noun is a special word, such as women or children or mice, then the rule applies and the apostrophe must be placed before the $s$.
the women's dresses the children'stoys

Pronouns such as yours, ours, theirs, and its do not use an apostrophe.
Spelling rule \#3: The apostrophe is never used with regular plurals.
cats dogs houses dinosaurs

Note that there is often confusion between it's and its. The apostrophe indicates a contraction so It is a nice day becomes It's a nice day. The its without the apostrophe indicates possession: The cat put its paw in its milk.

If the object belongs to two or more persons, then the apostrophe and the $s$ are used only with the final person mentioned.

$$
\text { Lily and John's house } \quad \text { Mark, John, and Charles' boat }
$$

The possessive apostrophe plus $s$ should be no problem yet almost daily we can find examples of its misuse. Usually the error involves the plural. The rule is clear: never use an apostrophe when a nonpossessive plural is intended.

## CHAPTER 5

## Contractions

Contractions are as simple as they are useful. The apostrophe takes the place of a missing letter (or letters) and the two words are combined. In almost all cases, the first of the two words is not changed. The letters are dropped from the second word. Usually there is only one letter deleted, but there may be as many as four.

| I do not | you will | it is | I am | Who would like coffee? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I don't | you'll | it's | I'm | Who'd like coffee? |

## "Ain't is never acceptable."

The unusual contraction is won't for will not. Many years ago, it was willn't, but that is now archaic. There are a few other contractions that are now archaic, including e'en for even.

Sometimes a contraction may have two meanings:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { We'd had a nice day but it began to rain. we had } \\
& \text { We'd all like a cup of coffee." we would }
\end{aligned}
$$

There is one contraction that is frowned upon and never used by educated persons. This is ain't, which is the contraction for $a m$ not. The correct word, amn't, long ago fell into disuse and is now considered both awkward and archaic. Since there is no other contraction for am not, one would expect ain't to be quite acceptable. After all it has been around for over two hundred years. The main reason that ain't is not acceptable is that it has been, and still is, terribly overused and usually misused besides. It is often used in place of haven't, hasn't, isn't, aren't, and a number of other negative contractions.

English is a marvelously flexible language so if ain't is not acceptable and amn't is archaic, we can simply move the apostrophe to the preceding word and use I'm not instead. It would seem that this solves the problem, except that most contractions can be used in the interrogative-haven't I? isn't it? don't I? etc. Since we cannot use amn't I? we have to compromise with aren't I? or am I not? Because these seem awkward, however, whether we like it or not, the unacceptable ain't will probably be with us for many more years.

## CHAPTER 6

## The Silent e

One extremely useful feature of English spelling is the silent $e$. We place it at the end of a word in order to change the sound of the preceding vowel and thus create a new word. For example, hat becomes hate, spin becomes spine, etc. Not only does the silent $e$ replace a vowel diphthong, but it also allows us to use vowel combinations to produce still other words, for example, mete, meet, meat. Unfortunately, we tend to overuse it, and sometimes there is confusion as to when to drop and when to retain the silent $e$. The basic spelling rules are quite simple.

Spelling rule \#1: Retain the silent $e$ when a consonant suffix is added:
like likeness love lovely waste wasteful

Spelling rule \#2: Drop the silent $e$ when a vowel suffix is added:
like likable waste wasting love lovable

Spelling rule \#3: The soft and hard $c$ and $g$ rules must be observed. Therefore, words that end in a soft $\boldsymbol{c}$ or a soft g and are followed by a suffix that begins with a hard vowel or a consonant must retain the silent $e$.

| change changeable | nice nicely |
| :--- | :--- |
| trace traceable | age ageless |

Because of the large number of words that use the silent $e$, it is only to be expected that there might appear to be numerous exceptions and anomalies. Most of these apparent anomalies fit into patterns and obey the rules.
Spelling rule \#4: The digraph $d g$ is always considered soft, so the silent $e$ is not needed when adding suffixes:
knowledgable trudging judgment

Spelling rule \#5: There are very few commonly use English words that end in $u$; the ending is usually $u e$. In almost every case, the silent $e$ is dropped from these words when adding a suffix:

| argue true blue | sue |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| argument | truly | bluing | suing |

Spelling rule \#6: The silent $e$ is retained in words that end in oe when adding the suffix ing:

| hoe | toe | shoe |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hoeing | toeing | shoeing |

Spelling rule \#7: When adding the suffix ing to words ending in $i e$, we drop the $e$ and change the $i$ to $y$ to avoid producing a double $i$ :

| tie | die | vie | lie |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tying | dying | vying | lying |

Note that at times, the pronunciation changes when a suffix is added and this causes a spelling change:

| analyze | line | prestige |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| analysis | lineage | prestigious |
| conspire | supreme | wise |
| conspiracy | supremacy | wisdom |

But there are some words that do not follow the rules:

```
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
whole & singe & die \\
wholly & singeing & dying \\
hole & tinge & dye \\
holey & tingeing & dyeing
\end{tabular}
```

Many problems can be traced to an understandable impulse to retain the silent $e$ in order to protect the preceding vowel. When it is not obviously needed, the silent $e$ should be dropped. The following words do not follow the rules:

| acre | fire | nine |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| acreage | fiery | ninth |  |
| aue | glue | cage (compare stage |  |
| auful | gluey | cagey and stagy) |  |

Note that aweful ("full of awe") and awful may now be considered two separate words with quite different meanings.

## CHAPTER 7

## The Letter v

$T_{\text {he letter } v}$ may appear anywhere in a word except at the end. No commonly used English word ever ends in a $v$, and this explains a large inconsistency that students are quick to notice.

The silent $e$ is used to modify the vowel that precedes it, but the silent $e$ must be added to any word that should logically end in $v$. Therefore, we get have instead of hav and active instead of activ, and we spell sleeve with a superfluous $e$. There are many other examples.

From this point of view, the rule is illogical. But it does have the virtue of uniformity. Some of our spelling rules leave us with strange anomalies, but this rule is so simple that there are almost no exceptions.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { rev } & \text { to rev an engine, revolutions } \\
\text { spiv } & \text { a slang term. } \\
\text { MIRV } & \text { an acronym }
\end{array}
$$

Note that one English word ends in a $v$ sound but is spelled with an $f$. The word of is the only word ending in an $f$ that has the soft $v$ sound.

## CHAPTER 8

## Comparatives and Superlatives

$T$ he comparative words are not a major spelling problem. The only difficulty that students may encounter is when to double the consonant and when to leave it alone. The general rule for doubling consonants is covered in another chapter.

## Spelling rule \#1: The suffix $e r$ is used with single syllable words:

| short | tall | fast | pink |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| shorter | taller | faster | pinker |

When we are forming superlatives, the suffix est is used with single syllable words. The word must be preceded by the:
the biggest the smallest the youngest the oldest
Spelling rule \#2: Words of three or more syllables are preceded by more (comparative) and the most (superlative):

| more beautiful | the most beautiful |
| :--- | :--- |
| more dangerous | the most dangerous |
| more difficult | the most difficult |
| more objectionable | the most objectionable |

Spelling rule \#3: With words of two syllables, one group uses er and est, but the others must use more and the most.

Adjectives that end in $y$ simply change the $y$ to $i$ and add $e r$ or est:

| dirty | jaunty | kindly <br> dirtier | jauntier <br> the jauntiest |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kindlier |  |  |  |
| the dintiest |  |  |  |

Words ending in ous use more and the most:

| anxious | callous |
| :--- | :--- |
| more anxious | more callous |
| the most anxious | the most callous |

Words ending in ful use more and the most:

| careful | dreadful | auful |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| more careful | moredreadful | more auful |
| the most careful | the most dreadful | the most auful |

Words ending in ing use more and the most:

| boring | loving |
| :--- | :--- |
| more boring | more loving |
| the most boring | the most loving |

The English language contains thousands of adjectives of an extremely wide variety and many of them are regionalisms. When in doubt, it is best to use more and the most.

Note that aweful ("full of awe") and awful may now be considered two separate words with quite different meanings.

Note that one word that cannot be modified is unique. If something is unique, then it is the only one.

There are no others. It cannot be more unique or less unique or even most unique. Either it is unique, or it is not.

## Plurals

$F_{\text {orming the plural in English is usually just a matter of adding an } s \text {. The interesting part of this rule is }}$ the number of exceptions to it.

English has always taken words and changed them, and the changes have almost always been toward a simpler spelling that fits the spelling rules.

## Spelling rule \#1: To form the plural of most nouns, simply add an $s$ :

cats dogs houses castles

Spelling rule \#2: For words ending in $y$, if there is a vowel immediately before the $y$, then simply add the $s$ :
boys keys subways

Spelling rule \#3: If there is a consonant immediately before the $\boldsymbol{y}$, change the $\boldsymbol{y}$ to $\boldsymbol{i}$ and add es:

| monastery | sky | baby | pastry |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| monasteries | skies | babies | pastries |

Spelling rule \#4: If the word ends in one of the sibilants, such as $s, x, z, c h, t c h, s h$, and $s s$, we usually add es:

| fox | bus | mess | arch |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| foxes | buses | messes | arches |
| watch | bush | buzz | smash |
| watches | bushes | buzzes | smashes |

Spelling rule \#5: If the word ends in $f$ or $f e$, we usually change the ending to ves:

| calf | leaf | wolf |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| calves | leaves | uolves | shelf <br> shelves | uife <br> uives | knife <br> knives |

Note that a number of words that end in $f$ simply add an $s$ :

| chief | brief | safe | roof | cliff |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| chiefs | briefs | safes | roofs | cliffs |
| muff | oaf | skiff | toff |  |
| muffs | oafs | skiffs | toffs |  |

Note that the plural of staff is staffs or staves, depending on the meaning.
Note that a few words may be spelled either way. These illustrate regional differences in pronunciation.

| duarfs or dwarves | scarfs or scarves |
| :--- | :--- |
| hoofs or hooves | wharfs or wharves |

Spelling rule \#6: If a word ends in $o$, we simply add an $s$ :
cuckoos videos banjos pianos

There are some exceptions to this rule. A few words that end in an $o$ that is preceded by a consonant use the es ending. This special group of words is rapidly shrinking.

| dominoes | echoes | embargoes | potatoes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Negroes | vetoes | torpedoes | tomatoes |

There used to be three times as many words in this group, and some dictionaries still offer either
spelling, but today, the preferred spelling is the simple $s$ :

| dominos | mosquitos | salvos | tomatos |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cargos | mangos | tornados | potatos |

Note that if the word is an abbreviation, we simply add an $s$ :
kilos phoos hippos

Spelling rule \# 7: When forming plurals of hyphenated words, the general rule is to give the plural ending to the most important word, which is usually a noun.

mothers-in-law courts-martial ladies-in-waiting

## Spelling rule \#8: There are numerous irregular plurals:

| foot | goose | mouse | child |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| feet | geese | mice | children |
| penny | axis | tooth | ox |
| pennies/pence | axes | teeth | oxen |
|  | louse | woman |  |
|  | lice | women |  |

Note that women, children, and oxen date back a thousand years to the Anglo-Saxon.
Spelling rule \#9: Some nouns are always singular. They have no plural form.

| cod | sheep | pike | swine | aircraft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| grouse | deer | fish | salmon |  |

Some nouns are always plural and have no singular form:

| cattle | forceps | pincers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| shears | scissors | series |

And some words are neither singular nor plural, or perhaps they're both:

| athletics | politics | mathematics |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| logistics | economics | gymnastics |

English contains numerous foreign words that have not been anglicized, and their plurals are often spelled in different ways. But many of these are changing, sometimes right before our eyes. Our prickly friend the cactus, for example, may now be pluralized to cactuses instead of cacti, although most botanists prefer to use just cactus for both the singular and the plural. As for the poor old hippopotamus, in the herd, they are now hippopotamuses or, better still, just hippos.

The reduction of long, awkward words to short, clear abbreviations, such as hippo, is quite acceptable. For example, the piano was once called the pianoforte. Similarly gladioli or gladioluses are more commonly called glads and chrysanthemums are most often referred to as mums.

Of course, there are some who decry this modernizing of old and familiar words, but it fits the historic pattern. English has always taken words and changed them, and the changes have almost always been toward a simpler spelling that fits the spelling rules. In Italian, for example, the plural of maestro is maestri, but since we have borrowed the word, we give it the English plural, maestros.

Some words with irregular plurals may or may not be in the process of change. Only time will tell. Consider the following examples:

| medium | mediums | or | media |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| formula | formulas | or | formulae |
| radius | radiuses | or | radii |
| appendix | appendixes | or | appendices |
| gateau | gateaus | or | gateaux |
| chateau | chateaus | or | chateaux |
| cello | cellos | or | celli |
| tempo | tempos | or | tempi |

## Chapter 10

## The Past Tense

$A_{\mathrm{t}}$ one time or another, every teacher on playground duty has been confronted by a small child complaining, "He hitted me!" The child has learned the correct way to form the past tense of a verb, but, unfortunately, he or she chose the wrong verb. If the child had been pushed, punched, kicked, pulled, or shoved, there would be no problem. This illustrates the fact that, while we do indeed acquire most of the spelling rules by reading and writing, the formal teaching of spelling is still absolutely necessary.

Note that one word that cannot be modified is unique. If something is unique, then it is the only one. There are no others. It cannot be more unique or less unique or even most unique. Either it is unique, or it is not.

The rule for forming the past tense of English verbs is quite simple and applies to most of the single syllable words in general use. It also applies to practically all the multisyllable words.

## Spelling rule \#1: Add ed to the end of the word:

| jumped <br> deliberated | acted <br> photographed | pushed <br> ventilated |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | | punched |
| :--- |
| disconnected |

Note that the ending ed is hardly ever pronounced clearly. Usually it is cut short, spoken very softly, or has a soft $t$ sound. The clearest pronunciation will be at the end of a multi-syllable word like consolidated, disconnected, or ventilated. We need only listen to a Shakespearean play to realize that in the past most ed endings were pronounced much more clearly.

There is a small group of words that have a final sound closer to a $t$ although they have the $e d$ ending. Usually this $t$ sound occurs after the letters $p$ or $k$.

| jumped | hopped | limped |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| clapped | hoped | heaped |
| looked | poked | thanked |

Another group of words uses the $t$ instead of the $e d$ ending. The argument over whether to use the $t$ or the ed ending is not new. Long before the advent of the printing press, writers used one or the other and sometimes both, often without any logical reason. The spelling reformers of the 19th century preferred the $t$ ending because it suited their desire for phonetic spelling, but the ed ending poses no difficulties in either pronunciation or understanding, and by sticking to the rule we avoid yet another complication and retain uniformity.

Spelling rule \#2: As a general rule, the ed ending should be used for the simple past tense. Verbs that end in $t$ should be reserved for use as the past participle with the auxiliary verbs have and had.

| Yesterday, I spilled the coffee. |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| burn | burned |
| dream | dreamed |
| learn | learned |
| spill | spilled |
| spoil | spoiled |

I have spilt the coffee.
have burnt
have dreamt have learnt have spilt have spoilt
The past tense of sleep was once sleeped, but that form has almost vanished in favor of slept. On the other hand, the past tense of kill is usually killed, but there are some regional dialects that use kilt.

Similarly, the word clapt has given way to clapped. In card games, especially poker, the word dealed is often used instead of dealt. Although currently incorrect, it may one day be acceptable. Unfortunately, the correct past tense shined is moving in the opposite direction and the irregular shone is becoming more popular.

There is a small group of words that have no past tense.

| cost | hit | put | set |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cut | hurt | let |  |

The irregular past tense contains numerous interesting words, many of which have come down to us from the Anglo-Saxon and have suffered surprisingly little change over the centuries. They are short, basic, frequently used, single syllable words and they have a variety of endings. Clearly, many of these words were originally past participles, but over the years the regular past tense vanished and, as we no longer say builded or spended, the words have to serve as both past tense and past participle.

| bend bent | sit | sat | keep | kept |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mean | meant | dig | dug | teach taught |
| bind bound | sleep | slept | know knew |  |
| meet | met | feed fed | tell | told |
| bleed bled | slide slid | lay laid |  |  |
| read | read | feel felt | think thought |  |
| bring brought | spend spent | lead led |  |  |
| say said | fight fought | win avon |  |  |
| build built | stand stood | leave left |  |  |
| sell sold | find found | wind quound |  |  |
| buy bought | strike struck | lend lent |  |  |
| send sent | have had | wring qrung |  |  |
| catch caught | sweep swept | lose | lost |  |
| shoot shot | hold held | make made |  |  |
| deal dealt | swing swung | shine shone |  |  |

Note that the verb to be has its own unique rules, which are too complex for inclusion in this small book.
Past and passed are often confused and misused. Passed is a verb only, but past is an adjective that may also be used as a noun or even an adverb.

> He passed me and then I passed him.
> It happened in the past year.
> In the past is when it happened.
> He went past me in a hurry.

Note that waked is an acceptable past tense in place of the more popular woke, but both awakened and awoke are still in use.

In the present tense, we hang both things and people. In the past tense, hanged is reserved for criminals, while hung refers to suspended inanimate objects.

> The picture was hung on the wall.
> The murderer was hanged.

The currently incorrect past tense shrinked is often used in place of shrunk. It is interesting to note that when the past tense is used incorrectly, it is often a rejection of an irregularity and a clear preference for a version that follows the spelling rules.

Finally, please note that there is extraordinary confusion over lie and lay. Even the dictionaries agree to disagree. Perhaps it would help to bear in mind that "chickens lay and people lie."

## Chapter 11 Prefixes and Suffixes

$T_{\text {he number of suffixes and prefixes (together called affixes) in the English language is truly astonishing. }}$ There are over fifty of Latin origin, over fifty of Greek origin, about a dozen of Anglo-Saxon origin, and a few from other languages, including Arabic.

These additions to the original root words are so common and so firmly entrenched in the language that often they are hard to recognize, as in the following common words. Which part of the word is the root, and which is the affix?

| explode | impolite | resign | innocent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| develop | subject | support | alfalfa |

There are numerous more easily recognized prefixes and suffixes, and we use them enthusiastically wherever and whenever we can. The rules governing their use are quite simple.

The spelling rule is that the root word and the affix are not changed but simply linked.

| recharge discharge | conserve preserve |
| :--- | :--- |
| uneaten eating | squashed squashable |

Note that there are exceptions. There are times when the $y$ must be changed to $i$ :
happy happily jolly jolliest envy envious
when the silent $e$ must be dropped:
true truly waste wasting fine finest
or the final consonant must be doubled:
fat fatter thin thinnest out cutting

These changes are explained in other chapters.

## CHAPTER 12

## Single or Double 1

$T$here are a number of prefixes and suffixes that contain a double $l$. For example:

| all | fill | till | will |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| full | skill | well |  |

Finally, please note that there is extraordinary confusion over lie and lay. Even the dictionaries agree to disagree. Perhaps it would help to bear in mind that "chickens lay and people lie."

Although these affixes are quite common, there is often confusion as to when to retain the extra 1 . The spelling rule is quite simple:

## In all but a very few cases, the second $l$ is dropped.

Considering the many hundreds of words that include these prefixes and suffixes, it sometimes seems that they are never used with the double $l$. This is almost always true. Note, for example, that when full, well, and all are used as suffixes or prefixes. they are always spelled with only one $l$ :

```
beautiful
welcome
alright(which is never used except informally)
fulsome
thoughtful
welfare
already(adverb; but all ready when everyone is ready)
```

At times it may look as if the double $l$ is retained. This is not the case. When the suffix $l y$ is added, the extra $l$ is dropped so there are not three $l$ 's in a row:
beautifully peacefully

Hyphenated words retain the double 1:

| full-length <br> well-bred | all-weather <br> full-scale | well-off <br> all-paid |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Derivatives of a word must retain the double $l$ :
full fuller fullest
Note that the word fully is the word full plus $l y$ with the third $l$ dropped. It therefore follows the rule.
While the charming old word farewell retains the double $l$, the equally ancient word welcome adheres to the rule and drops the second $l$. Interestingly, the word fulfil manages to drop the second $l$ twice.

While the words alright and all right both follow the rules, the former is acceptable only in certain contexts.

The word alone comes from all one and follows the rules.
Note that the word fill is rarely used as a prefix or suffix. It is usually the root word, a derivative, or part of a compound:
filled filler filling landfill refil

With the words skill, will, and till, we come to a transitional stage. Some words have lost the extra $l$ while others still retain it.

```
will
villpower wilful skilled until
```

While the rule is followed in British dictionaries, there are some American dictionaries that offer a choice of skillful or skilful and fulfill or fulfil. This is a fascinating reversal, considering how the British are so attached to the double $l$ and Americans are not. When offered the choice, we should stick to the spelling rules and drop that extra $l$.

## Double the Consonant

One of the most irritating problems in English spelling is when to double the consonant and when not to double it. It is safe to say that even the best spellers are sometimes unsure and usually keep a dictionary handy. The double consonant almost always follows a short vowel, but a short vowel is not always followed by a double consonant. Most people would agree that the double consonant is an irritating anachronism which quite often is not needed.

Here is the spelling rule.
Double the final consonant only if the word ends in a single consonant preceded by a short vowel and the suffix is a vowel suffix. If it is a multi-syllable word, the accent must fall on the final syllable.

The following paragraphs are intended to clarify the rule.
The final consonant means just one consonant. If the word ends in $c h, s h$, or $c k$, then we do not double:
lunch lunches fish fishing back backers

Single syllable words that end in $f, l, s$, and $z$ are usually already doubled:

| puff | puffing | kiss | kissed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| small | smaller | buzz | buzzing |

If an $s$ follows $u$, then the $s$ is not usually doubled:
chorus chorusing bus bused

In most words that end in $x$ and $y$, the letter is not doubled:
fix fixed toy toyed

If there are two vowels, the consonant is not doubled. Almost always, the word has a long vowel sound:
feed feeding raid raider lead leading

The combination $q u$ is counted as one letter. Therefore the $u$ is not considered to be an extra vowel:

$$
\text { quiz quized } \quad \text { quit quitter } \quad \text { quip quipped }
$$

If the final t is silent, it is not doubled:
ricochet ricocheting crochet crocheting

Note that the British pronounce the $t$ at the end of ricochet and therefore correctly spell the participle ricochetting.

A suffix must begin with a vowel:

| en | ed | ing | ion | able |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| er | est | $y$ | age | and soon. |

In multi-syllable words, the accent must be on the last syllable:

Occasionally there will be an accent shift when a suffix is added to a word. In these cases, the spelling rule must be followed. The words that fit the rule will double the consonant, but those that do not fit the rule will not:

| prefer | preferring | preference |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| confer | conferring | conference <br> refer | referring <br> refence |
| hide | hiding | hidden | referee |

When adding in or $u n$ as prefixes, use only one $n$ unless the word starts with an $n$ :
numerable innumerable necessary unnecessary

When adding mis or dis as a prefix, use only one $s$ unless the word starts with an $s$ :

| agree | disagree | satisfied | dissatisfied |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| applied | misapplied | spelt | misspelt |

When adding $l y$ to a word that ends in $i c$, use ally (two $l$ 's):

| basic | basically | tragic | tragically |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| comic | comically | frantic | frantically |

As a rule of thumb, when in doubt or when the dictionary offers a choice, do not double the consonant.

## CHAPTER 14

## Soft and Hard c

$T$here are times when the $c$ is soft, as in city, and other times when it is hard. as in cat. Understanding the rules that govern when the $c$ is hard or soft will not only help alleviate some spelling difficulties, but it will also help in pronouncing words correctly. Understanding the rule is absolutely essential when changing the root word in any way, as when we are adding suffixes.

Spelling rule \#1: The $\boldsymbol{c}$ is soft when it immediately precedes the letters $e, i$, and $y$ :
cent cinch cycle percent precision

When adding a suffix that starts with $a, o, u$, or a consonant to a word that ends in $c e$, we must retain the silent $e$ so that the $c$ does not become hard.

```
service serviceable notice noticeable
```

When adding a suffix that begins with $e, i$, or $y$ to a word that ends in $c e$, we drop the silent $e$ because it is not needed to keep the $c$ soft:
service servicing ice icicle

Note that there are about half a dozen scientific words that begin with the Greek syllable coe that do not follow the rule. In these words, the coe is pronounced see:
coelocanth coelom colenteron

Spelling rule \#2: The $c$ is hard when it immediately precedes the letters $a, o$, and $u$ :
car come curtain cucumber flacon

The $c$ is hard if it is the final letter of a word or is followed by a consonant.
public pionic panic climb crumb acre

Spelling rule \#3: When adding a suffix that begins with $e, i$, or $y$ to a word that ends in hard $c$, we must add $k$ to keep the $\boldsymbol{c}$ hard:

| panic | panicking | traffic trafficking |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mimic | mimicking | mosaic mosaicking |
| picnic | picnicking | bivouac bivouacking |

Beware of anomalies:

| arc | arred | arcing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| zinc | zinced | zincing <br> disc |
| disced | discing |  |

When two $c$ 's are together, the rule still applies. The first $c$ will be hard and the second will be hard or soft, depending on the letter that follows it.
accept accident eccentric accord accurate

Considering the extremely large number of words that use the letter $c$ followed by one or more of the six vowels, it is astonishing that there are so few exceptions to the hard and soft rule. Note that one interesting problem is the word Celt. The ancient Celts did not have the letter $k$, so the name of their tribe should be pronounced kelt. It is sometimes spelled that way when it is used as a noun. The adjective celtic should be pronounced keltic following the pattern of the noun. The exception is Boston's basketball

## team. <br> cam.
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## Chapter 15

## Combinations Using c

$T$he letter $c$ is one of the most interesting letters in the alphabet. It has often been denounced as a useless letter that imitates $s$ or $k$. However, when we look at it more closely, we find that it is not only one of the most often used letters, but it is also used in numerous quite fascinating ways.

The Anglo-Saxons pronounced it like a $k$, but, following the Norman French invasion and the later influx of countless Greek and Latin words, along with host of other foreign words, it began to acquire the extraordinary complexity that it now has. When the $c$ has the $k$ sound, the word is probably Anglo-Saxon in origin. When it has the $s$ sound, it is probably French or Latin and arrived during the Renaissance. Centuries of usage have, however, caused quite a tangle. The $c$ rules are not absolute.

When the $c$ is followed by $h$, we usually expect the digraph sound produced by $c h$ :
chapter cheese chip chocolate chuckle

But the $c h$ may have the $s h$ sound. These are mainly French words:

| champagne <br> chassis | Chablis <br> chenille | chiffon <br> chute |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | machine

And sometimes the $c h$ may have the $k$ sound. These are often Greek words:

| stomach |
| :--- |
| chord | | chorus |
| :--- |
| ache | | chemist |
| :--- |
| chaos |$\quad$ inchoate

Note that the only word to have a double $c$ before the $h$ is saccharin.
When followed by $k$ in one-syllable words with a short-vowel, the $c$ becomes silent:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { sack } & \text { stick } \\
\text { clock } & \text { check (which boasts both the ch and } k \text { sounds) }
\end{array}
$$

Note that no commonly used English words begin with ck.
When the $c$ is followed by $t$, it may sometimes be silent.
indict

When a ci or ce combination, appears, it may have the sh sound:

| physician <br> atrocious | coercion <br> gracious | cirvacceous <br> herbaceous | crustacean <br> ocean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

When the $c e$ combination has the $c h$ sound, the word is usually of Italian origin:
cello concerto

Note also that the $k$ is rarely used before $l$ or $r$, but it may be used before $l e$.

| clap | crumb | But: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ankle  <br> creep climb | twinkle <br> clue | cross |

Again, there are a few exceptions, but they are also mainly exotic imports:

| klutz <br> krill | klan <br> kraal | klaxon <br> krypoonite |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | kleptomaniac

The $k$ has to be used instead of $c$ when a $k$ sound is needed before the letters $e, i$ and $y$ :

| kennel <br> kill | kestrel <br> kindle | keeper <br> kymograph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

When a word needs a silent $e$ at the end but also needs the hard $k$ sound, the $c$ cannot be used. We must use a $k$ :
like fluke strike duke

After the letter $s$ the $c$ becomes silent if it is followed by $e$ or $i$ :

| scene | scent | crescent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| science | scimitar | scissors |

But if the $s c$ is followed by $a, o$, or $u$, it will sound like a $k$ :
scare scone scum

And sometimes the $s c$ digraph produces the $s h$ sound when it is followed by the soft vowels, $e$ or $i$ :

## CHAPTER 16

## Soft and Hard g

$M$any writers lump the $c$ and $g$ together when explaining hard and soft sounds. Although they are similar in many ways, however, the $g$ is more complex than the $c$ and should be studied separately.

The ancient Celts did not have the letter k , so the name of their tribe should be pronounced kelt. It is sometimes spelled that way when it is used as a noun. The adjective celtic should be pronounced keltic following the pattern of the noun. The exception is Boston's basketball team.

Spelling rule \#1: The $g$ is soft before $y$ and sounds like $j$ :
gymnasium gypsy gyrate biology (or any other logy word)

Gynecology is the only exception. In North America, the initial $g$ is hard. The second $g$ follows the rules.

Spelling rule \#2: When adding a suffix that starts with $a, o$, or $u$, or a consonant, to a word that ends in $g e$, we must retain the silent $e$. Otherwise, the $g$ becomes hard.
manage manageable encourage encouragement

When adding a suffix that begins with $e, i$, or $y$ to a word that ends in $g e$, we drop the silent $e$ because it is not needed:

```
manage manager managing encourage encouraging
```

English being the interesting language that it is, there are, of course, anomalies like singeing.
An interesting anomaly is the word mortgage. The variations, mortgagee and mortgaged, follow the spelling rules, but mortgagor breaks the rule by not retaining the softening $e$. It is nevertheless pronounced with the final soft $g$.

Spelling rule \#3: The $g$ is hard before $a, o, u$ or a consonant:
gas gone gun green glide ghost

The $g$ is always hard when it is the final letter in a word:
flag leg rig flog drug

The $n g$ combination is often a problem. The hard $g$ is pronounced softly but clearly. In words like sing, singing, playing, etc., it is pronounced so softly that it is barely audible.

## clingy stringy springy

While the hard and soft rule is quite clear and logical, and it is valid for the vast majority of words, English being the wonderfully complex language it is gives us exceptions. These are discussed in the following paragraphs.

Spelling rule \#4: Sometimes the $g$ is hard before the letters $e$ and $i$.
Hard ge words:

| get | gear | geld | geyser |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| forget | finger | target | geiger |

Hard gi words:

Note that mathematicians and computer experts sometimes argue among themselves regarding the word gigabyte. Since it does not come from the English word gigantic but from the Greek word gigas ("giant"), it is properly pronounced with two hard $g$ 's.

The words in this next group appear to be anomalies, but they actually form a special subgroup composed of single syllable words that end in ing. No other letter is added to keep the $g$ hard, even though the suffixes start with $e, i$, or $y$.

| dinging | dinger | dinged | bringing | bringer |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| singing | singer |  | flinging | flinger |  |
| pinging | pinger |  | slinging | slinger |  |
| winging |  |  | stinging | stinger |  |
| ringing | ringer |  | clinging | clinger | clingy |
| zinging | zinger | zingy | springing | springer | springy |

Apart from this handful of semi-hard $g e$ and $g i$ words, there are no other exceptions among commonly used words.

Spelling rule \#5: There are occasions when a word that ends in $g$ must be followed by an $i$ or an $e$. If it is a hard $g$, then confusion might arise because the $g$ would become soft. In such cases a $u$ is added between the $g$ and the softening vowel.

The $g u$ is used to keep the $g$ hard, no matter what letter follows. A wide variety of words use the $g u$, which can be found at the beginning of a word, at the end, and sometimes in the middle.

| Beginning |
| :--- |
| guaranty |
| guard |
| guerilla |
| guess |
| guest |
| guide |
| guild |
| guile |
| guinea |
| guise |
| guitar |


| END |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| analogue | pedagogue |
| fatigue | colleague |
| vague | rogue |
| demagogue | fugue |
| vogue | morgue |
| monologue | synagogue |
| league | brogue |
| prologue | meringue |
| epilogue | catalogue |
| dialogue | intrigue |
| plague |  |

MiddLe
language
ambiguity
anguish
beguile
sanguine

It is obvious that at least half a dozen words in the list above do not really need the $g u$. For example, the $a$ in guarantee and in guard is not a soft vowel. Similarly, league and colleague do not need the ue.

However, some modernization has occurred. Over the past few years, many ogue words have lost the $u e$ and now end with a simple $g$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { analog } & \text { demagog } & \text { epilog } & \text { monolog } & \text { dialog } \\
\text { pedagog } & \text { prolog } & \text { synagog } & \text { catog }
\end{array}
$$

## Changing the y to i

Here is one of those spelling rules that we all remember quite well, though we sometimes get a little confused when it comes to actually applying the rule and remembering the exceptions. The rules are quite simple and very logical and have few exceptions. The rule is applied when forming plurals and when adding suffixes.

## Spelling rule \#1: If there is a vowel before the $y$, add the $s$ or the suffix:

boy boys day days play player playyul

Spelling rule \#2: If there is a consonant before the $y$, change the $y$ to an $i$ before adding the plural es or the suffix:

| baby babies | happy happily <br> sky skies | sloppy sloppiness <br> merry merrily |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

But if the suffix begins with an $i$, then the $y$ has to remain or we would have a double $i$ which is extremely rare in English:
pity pitying baby babyish

When adding ous, most words change the $y$ to $i$, but there is a large group that changes $y$ to $e$. There appears to be no discernible reason for this anomaly.

| victory | victorious | vary | qarious |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| injury | injurious | fury | furious |
| luxury | luxurious | mystery | mysterious |
| envy | envious |  |  |

But

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { pity } & \text { piteous } & \text { beauty } & \text { beauteous } \\
\text { plenty } & \text { plenteous } & \text { courtesy } & \text { courteous }
\end{array}
$$

Note that if the $y$ has the long $i$ sound, then it must be retained when adding $l y$ or ness in order to keep the long $i$ sound, even if it is preceded by a consonant:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { sly } & \text { slyly } & \text { slyness } & \text { shy shyly } & \text { shyness } \\
\text { dry } & \text { dryly } & \text { dryness } & \text { wry } & \text { wryly }
\end{array}
$$

Words ending in ay usually obey the ay-ai spelling rule:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { day daily } & \text { slay } & \text { slain } & \text { pay } \\
\text { gay paid } \\
\text { gaily } & \text { lay laid, lain } & \text { say } & \text { said }
\end{array}
$$

Note that, apparently just to be contrary, the English language contains some words that sometimes reverse the process and change the $i e$ to $y$. This apparent anomaly is necessary to avoid the occurrence of three vowels in a row, which is extremely rare in English.

```
die dying vie rying lie lying tie tying
```

In the case of the word money, some dictionaries offer the choice of moneys or monies and moneyed or monied. Since the $y$ is preceded by a vowel, there is no reason to change the $y$ to $i$ and thus no logical reason to break the rule.

## CHAPTER 18

## Using qu Plus a Vowel

 $I_{\mathrm{t}}$ is quite common to hear English spelling rules dismissed as either nonexistent or so riddled with exceptions that they are useless. This is not true. There is a large number of rules, but most of them are clear and logical, and most of them are valid rules. That is, they apply to such a high percentage of words in their particular category that there are few, if any, exceptions or anomalies. The $q$ rule is valid one hundred percent of the time.Note that mathematicians and computer experts sometimes argue among themselves regarding the word gigabyte. Since it does not come from the English word gigantic but from the Greek word gigas ("giant"), it is properly pronounced with two hard g's.

Spelling rule: In English spelling, the $q$ may not stand alone. Excluding names, the $q$ must always be followed by $\boldsymbol{u}$ and a vowel. There are no exceptions.

| plaque | quaint | queen | quick | quorum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| quality | quest | quiet | quote | masquerade |

Note that our names are excluded because we may spell our names any way we wish. The names of foreign countries and cities, especially those that use a different alphabet, are often spelled with just a $q$ : Iraq Qiongshan Aqaba Qinghai
A thousand years ago, the Anglo Saxons did not use the $q$. They used $c w$. Since there was no $k$, the $c$ had a hard sound. Cwen is now queen. Cwic is now quick. The change in spelling occurred during the Norman French period, and today no commonly used English word begins with cw. The only such word in most dictionaries is a Welsh word, cwm, which is pronounced kum.

Note that although the qu produces the $k w$ sound, there are only two words in most dictionaries that begin with $k w$, both recently borrowed words:

Kuanza Kwashiorkor
And in North America, cheque is spelled check, despite the fact that the American Express Company sells traveler's cheques.

## CHAPTER 19

## The i Before e Rule

$T$here is one spelling rule that everybody remembers-at least the first two lines. Some of us can even remember the next line. But what about the fourth line?

In the case of the word money, some dictionaries offer the choice of moneys or monies and moneyed or monied. Since the $y$ is preceded by a vowel, there is no reason to change the $y$ to $i$ and thus no logical reason to break the rule.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I before e } \\
& \text { except after c } \\
& \text { or when sounding like ay in neighbor and weigh } \\
& \text { or when sounding like eye in seismic and height }
\end{aligned}
$$

There are so many hundreds of words that contain ie or $e i$ that we cannot be blamed if we sometimes doubt the validity of this rule, but the fact is that the rule is valid for an astonishingly high percentage of words. The true anomalies are very few.

The spelling rule governs only those words where the two letters form a diphthong. They must produce just one sound. Hundreds of words contain these two letters but do not fit the rule because the letters form separate syllables.

Science is a two-syllable word.
Society has four syllables.
Quiet, being, and deity do not contain diphthongs.
In hieroglyphics, the letters ie form a diphthong, but in hierarchy they do not.

## Spelling rule \#1: I before $e$ :

| achieve | hygiene | field | diesel | relieve |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| believe | chief | niece | grief | fief |

Note that there are hundreds of words in this group, but no commonly used English word starts with ie. Most of the words in this group will have the long $e$ sound, but there are exceptions:

| sieve | handkerchief | lieu | quotient |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| friend | mischief | view | patient |

## Spelling rule \#2. ... except after $c$ :

| ceiling | deceit | receive | deceive |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| conceit | receipt | conceive | perceive |

A number of words seem to break this rule, but the vast majority of them are plurals like vacancies or comparatives like juiciest, where the $y$ has been changed to an $i$. There are very few true anomalies.

| ancient | glacier | deficient | efficient |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| species | conscience | sufficient | proficient |

Even these few anomalies are subject to argument as to whether or not the letters form a diphthong. This depends on how the word is pronounced.

## Spelling rule \#3. ...or when sounding like ay. There are fewer than three dozen commonly used

 words that are spelled $e i$ and sound like $a y$ :| beige | eight | weigh | chow mein |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| geisha | eighty | weight | feint |
| peignoir | eighteen | lei | skein |
| surveillance | inveigh | seine | freight |
| deign | reindeer | feign | obeisance |
| heinous | vein | neigh | sleigh |
| reign | inveigle | neighbor | dreidel |
| veil | rein | sheik |  |

Some dictionaries offer an alternative pronunciation for three of these words. geisha, obeisance, and sheik. They are sometimes pronounced with the long $e$ sound. but the long $a$ sound follows the spelling rule and is therefore preferable.

Spelling rule \#4. ... or when sounding like eye. There are slightly more than two dozen words that are spelled ei and sound like eye. Many of them have been borrowed from the German.

| height | heist | edeluveiss | Pleistocene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rottweiler | seismic | eidetic | zeitgeist |
| apartheid | feisty | geiger counter | gneiss |
| einsteinium | kaleidoscope | stein | poltergeist |
| Fahrenheit | sleight | Gesundheit |  |

Note that either and neither may be pronounced with the long $e$ sound or the long $i$ sound. Either pronunciation is quite acceptable on either side of the Atlantic. However, the eye sound conforms to the spelling rule therefore it is preferable.

The following words stick to the main spelling rule despite the fact that they have the eye sound:

| die | lie | vie | pie(and magpie) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fie | hie | tie | hieroglyphics |

There is a very small group of words that have the short $i$ sound. Centuries ago, the word foreign was spelled forein and the word forfeit was spelled forfet. Here are additional words with the short $i$ sound:

$$
\text { sovereign } \quad \text { surfeit } \quad \text { counterfeit }
$$

The following words are complete anomalies to the entire rule:

| nompareil | weir | plebeian codeine | heifer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| their | heir | protein | weird |
| caffeine | leisure |  |  |

Note that lieutenant is an interesting word. The British pronounce it lef-tenant, while the Americans pronounce it loo-tenant. The Latin root is locum tenens, a phrase that is still used by lawyers and which means a person acting for somebody else, an official representative. We still use the word lieu ("in lieu $o f^{\prime \prime}$ ), which is pronounced loo. Therefore, the American pronunciation is historically more accurate and linguistically correct.

## Using k, ck, ic, ac

$T_{\mathrm{o}}$ the student of English there must be times when the letters $c$ and $k$ appear to be interchangeable. Obviously they are not but we can not deny that there is some confusion. The Anglo-Saxons used the $c$ when they needed a hard $k$ sound and the $s$ when they wanted a soft s sound, but the Normans introduced the $k$, which was soon followed by a flood of Latin and Greek words which often use the $c$ when a hard $k$ is called for. Add to this many hundreds of other imported words, and we have quite a mess. Over the years, however, spelling rules have emerged that serve to bring some order to the confusion.

And in North America, cheque is spelled check, despite the fact that the American Express Company sells traveler's cheques.

Spelling rule \#1: The $c k$ is used immediately after a short vowel. Usually these are single-syllable words, but this group may include multi-syllable words when the syllable is closed or a suffix is added:

| back | beckon | sick | mock |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tracker | quickly | locket | pluck |
| peck | mimicking | stocking | luck |

Note that no commonly used English words begin with ck.
Spelling rule \#2: The $\boldsymbol{k}$ is used at the end of long vowel words and words that have a consonant after the vowel. This includes words that need a silent $e$ :

| book | soak | steak |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| poke | like | make |
| milk | sunk | bank |

Spelling rule \#3: Many words that derive from Latin or Greek use a $\boldsymbol{c}$ for the $\boldsymbol{k}$ sound after a short vowel, especially words that end in ic or $a c$. They are almost always multisyllable word.

| panic | picnic | traffic | public | tarmac |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mimic | frolic | fanatic | domestic | bivouac |

Note that the anomalies are arc and zinc:
arc arced arcing zinc zinced zincing

Fewer than fifty words end in $a c$. About half of them are descriptive nouns:

> amnesiac pyromaniac insomniac aphrodisiac

Only a tiny handful of words end in $o c$ :
posthoc adhoc havoc manioc roc

Note that the words flack and flak are not the same. The first refers to publicity, whereas the second is an acronym from the German words Fleiger abwehr kanonen, or antiaircraft fire.

Visitors to Britain will find that curb is spelled kerb. Both words follow the spelling rules; both are therefore correct. Just to add to the confusion, in the last few decades many exotic new words using the $k$ have been adopted and adapted into English.

```
anorak
batik
beatnik
bolshevik
musak polka Sputnik umiak
wodka kayak kulak kopek
kosher klutz klan krypton
```

A historical note: Less than two hundred years ago, public and domestic were spelled publick and domestick.

## Using ch and tch

Hundreds, perhaps even thousands, of English words contain the digraph ch. It can be used at the beginning, in the middle, or at the end of a word. The problem is when to use $c h$ and when to use $t c h$. The spelling rules are quite simple, and the anomalies number less than a dozen.

Note that lieutenant is an interesting word. The British pronounce it lef-tenant, while the Americans pronounce it loo-tenant. The Latin root is locum tenens, a phrase that is still used by lawyers and which means a person acting for somebody else, an official representative. We still use the word lieu ("in lieu $\left.o f^{\prime \prime}\right)$, which is pronounced loo. Therefore, the American pronunciation is historically more accurate and linguistically correct.

Spelling rule \#1: The tch is used after a short vowel. It is used mainly in single syllable words, but when used in multisyllable words, it will be part of a short vowel syllable:

| batch ditch notched satchel | twitching <br> fetch <br> wretched <br> crutches |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Note that no commonly used English word starts with tch.
Spelling rule \#2: After a long vowel or a consonant, we usually use the simple ch:

| each wouch roach | mooch |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| search | bunch | porch |

But there are anomalies:

| rich | detach | ostrich | duchess |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| attach | swatch | sanduich | which |
| watch | much | such | bachelor |

Note that both breeches and britches are correct, as each obeys the spelling rule.

## CHAPTER 22

## Using j, ge, dge

$T_{\text {he letter } j \text { is a very underused letter. About seventy-five percent of the time, when we hear the } j \text { sound }}$ it is actually spelled with $g$ or $d g e$.

Visitors to Britain will find that curb is spelled kerb. Both words follow the spelling rules; both are therefore correct.

Spelling rule \#1: Except for a few exotic imports, the $\boldsymbol{j}$ is never used at the end of English words:
hadj raj taj (as in Taj Mahal)

The $j$ can be followed by any of the vowels except $y$, but it is never followed by a consonant except in the popular contraction $J r$ (junior).

Spelling rule \# 2: We use $g e$ when a $j$ sound is needed at the end of a word if the word has a long vowel sound or if there is a consonant following the vowel:

| cage, caged | loge | huge |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| range | merge, merger | bulge |

Spelling rule \#3: We use dge if the word is a short vowel word and there is no consonant following the vowel. There are many of these words. They are usually single-syllable words, but there are also a few multi-syllable words.

| badge ridge grudge <br> hedge dodge knowledge | curmudgeon <br> acknowledge |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

When adding a suffix that begins with a hard vowel or a consonant, we would normally retain the final silent $e$, but when the $d g e$ is used, we drop the $e$ because the $d$ forms part of the soft $j$ sound.

| judge | judgment | judgable |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lodge | lodgment | lodgable |
| abridge | abridgment | abridgable |
| acknowledge | acknowledgment | acknowledgable |

Note that some dictionaries allow a choice when adding able, but there is no logical reason to retain the $e$. Compare knowledgeable and knowledgable.

## CHAPTER 23

## Using oy and oi

$W_{\text {hile the long vowel sounds produced by oy and } o i \text { are exactly the same, there is a logical reason to use }}$ one rather than the other. There are very few exceptions.

Spelling rule \#1: The $o y$ is used at the end of a word:
boy toy deploy employ enjoy

## Spelling rule \#2: The oi is used in the middle of a word:

spoil toil loin purloin android

Note that the oi must be followed by at least one consonant:
boil void join point avoid

Note that oid is also a suffix meaning "resembling" or "like":

```
asteroid (like a star)
spheroid(like a sphere)
android (resembling man or human)
planetoid (like a planet)
```

Very few words start with either oy or oi:
oil ointment oink oyster

Note that many centuries ago, oyster was spelled oistre.
When adding suffixes, as the $y$ is preceded by a vowel the $y$ is not usually changed to an $i$.

| boy boyish | enjoy enjoying |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| employ employer | deploy deployment |
| toy toying | annoy annoyance annoying |

Three words that retain the $y$ in order to avoid the oia combination are royal, loyal, and voyage. The oia combination is very rare in English: sequoia and paranoia.

## Using ay and ai

The long vowel sounds produced by $a y$ and $a i$ are exactly the same, but there is a logical reason to use one rather than the other. There are very few exceptions.

## Spelling rule \#1: The $a y$ is used at the end of a word:

day say delay replay

Spelling rule \#2: The $a i$ is used in the middle of a word:
main maim wait declaim explain

Note that the ai must be followed by at least one consonant:
raid plain

There are very few words that start with $a y$ or $a i$.

| aid | aim aimless aiming |
| :--- | :--- |
| aigrette | aye |
| aide | ayah |
| aileron | ayatollah |
| ail ailing ailment |  |

When adding suffixes, as the $y$ is preceded by a vowel, the $y$ is not usually changed to an $i$.
say saying playplayer pay payee gay gayest

Note that three words follow the rules when they take the past tense.
say said pay paid lay laid

Anomalies include the words crayon, bayonet, and mayor, which retain the $y$ in order to avoid the triple vowel combination of aio. Many years ago, mayor was spelled mair.

## Using au and aw

$T$ hese two syllables are similar in sound and could cause confusion. This is because the $w$ in many of these words is being used in place of the $u$ as an auxiliary vowel. But the spelling rules that govern $a u$ and $a w$ are quite clear. They usually produce the or sound, as in order, door, ball, raw, but there are exceptions.

Spelling rule \#1: The $a u$ spelling is used at the beginning or in the middle of a word. It is usually found in a closed syllable.

| auction | audible <br> slaughter | applause |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | | author |
| :--- |
| cause |

The $a u$ spelling does not appear at the end of words except for a handful of exotic words which are mostly French and have an $o$ sound or an $o w$ sound.

| bureau <br> trousseau | plateau <br> chateau | beau <br> tableau | luau <br> landau |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Spelling rule\#2: The $a w$ spelling is used at the end of a word or syllable:

| claw | saw | lawyer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| draw | sawjer | rawhide |

The $a w$ spelling occasionally appears before a final $n$ or $l$ :

| awl | yawl |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| brawn | spawn |
| yawn |  |
| drawl |  | drawl | bawn |
| :--- |
| drawn | | prawn |
| :--- |
| shawl | | sprawl |
| :--- |
| sawn |

Exceptions are bawd and bawdy.
Note that many $a w$ words were originally spelled with a $u$.

| braule (brawl) | foun (fawn) | spraul (sprawl) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| aul(awl) | launde (lawn) | poun(pawn) |
| scraule(sorawl) | baul (bawl) | tauny(tawny) |

Note that shawl is from the Persian word shal and yawl is from the Dutch word jol.
Fewer than a dozen words begin with aw:

| awe | awesome | awl |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| awed | aweful | awn |
| awful | awkward | awning |

Note that awe is the only commonly used word in this group that uses the silent $e$.
Historical footnote: The ancient Celts used the $w$ much as we use the $u$, and the spelling still exists in modern Welsh. For example, cwm is a word that means a small valley. Although cwm is the only word of its type left in our dictionaries, it is quite popular in Welsh place names, and its English variation, combe, is very common in England.

## Using ou and ow

$S$ ince the $o u$ and $o w$ combinations often make the same sound, it would seem that there is little difference between them. This is because, in many cases, the $w$ is being used in place of the $u$ as an auxiliary vowel.

## Spelling rule \#1: The ou spelling is almost always used in the middle of a word:

| hour | shout | loud |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| compound | astound | boundary |

Note that the $o u$ is almost never used at the end of a word. There are very few exceptions.

| you | bijou |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bayou | caribou |

There are barely half a dozen commonly used English words that begin with ou. One of these has a different sound.

| our | out | ounce | ought (which <br> oust |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ouch |  | soundslike aw) |  |

## Spelling rule \#2: The $o w$ is most often used at the end of a word.

Only a tiny handful of commonly used English words begin with ow. Most of these have different sounds.
owe owed owing own owner owl

Note that the word owl was once spelled oule.
The spelling $o w$ will occasionally appear in the middle of a word. The use of the $w$ is sometimes necessary to prevent the occurrence of three consecutive vowels, as ou cannot be followed by a vowel, but ow can be followed by a vowel or a consonant. A large number of these apparent anomalies were once spelled with the letter $u$.

| fowl | growl | dowdy | bower | town |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| chowder | powder | dowel | prowess | browse |
| wowel | towel | dowager | down | shower |
| howitzer | coward | howl | drowsy |  |
|  | goun | dowry | power |  |

Note these words that were once spelled with ou instead of our modern ow:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { groule is now growl } & \text { poudre is now pouder } \\
\text { goune is now gown } & \text { doude is now doudy } \\
\text { houlen is now howl } & \text { bour is now bower } \\
\text { doune is now down } & \text { shour is now shower } \\
\text { toun is now town } & \text { couard is now coward }
\end{array}
$$

## CHAPTER 27

## Using oe, ow, oa

$T$
he long $o$ sound can be spelled in a number of ways, for example, throat, throne, though, throw, hoe, limbo, etc.

## Historical footnote:

The ancient Celts used the $w$ much as we use the $u$, and the spelling still exists in modern Welsh. For example, $c w m$ is a word that means a small valley. Although $c w m$ is the only word of its type left in our dictionaries, it is quite popular in Welsh place names, and its English variation, combe, is very common in England.

Spelling rule \#1: The most common spelling is the use of the silent $\boldsymbol{e}$ to modify the $o$.

| home | phone | chose | abode | lone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| stove | nose | bone | whole | stone |
| close | spoke | rose | hole |  |

In a smaller group are words that end in a simple o:

| so | limbo | no | go | pro | echo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ago | also | hero | forgo | trio | fro |

Another small group consists of those words that end in oe:

| hoe | doe | toe | oboe | aloe |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| floe | roe | foe | woe | sloe |

Spelling rule \#2: The combination $o w$ is usually used at the end of words. It does not change with suffixes or compounds.

| tow | stow | mow yellow | snowiest |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Snow | row | stowaquy borroqving | tomorrow |  |
| flow | crow | sparrow | below | bestow |

One exception to the rule is bowl, which used to be spelled boule.
The only commonly used words that begin with ow are own and owe and their derivatives.

| own owner owned owning ownership |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| owe | owed <br> owing |  |

Spelling rule \#3: The combination $o a$ is usually used in the middle of words:

| moan | groan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| road | toad | | float |
| :--- |
| coast |$\quad$| broach |
| :--- |
| goal |$\quad$| soak |
| :--- |
| moat |

There are very few commonly used words that begin with $o a$, and only one that ends with $o a$.

| oaf | oar | oak | cocoa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| oakum | oat | oath |  |

There are a few words that spell the long $o$ as $o u$ :
boulder though soul shoulder

Note that there are also a few words that manage to produce the $o$ sound in even more interesting ways:
sew broach mawve beau

## CHAPTER 28

## Using or, ore, oar, our

$T$
he or sound can be spelled in a number of ways, for example for, fore, and four, also soar, war, wharf, ought, taut, and caught. This variety of spellings should pose no problem because there is a pattern.

Spelling rule \#1: By far the most popular of these spellings is the simple or. It is used at the beginning, in the middle, and at the end of words.

| order | morning | sordid | furor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| orphan | forbidden | adorn | neighbor |
| orthodox | deportment | terror | anchor |

Spelling rule \#2: The ore spelling is also extremely common. It is always used at the end of a word:

| before furore tore | shore wore |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| encore foreword galore deplore herbivore |  |

Note that furore is the British spelling. Americans spell the word without the $e$ : furor.
There is only one commonly used English word that begins with ore. It is ore.
Spelling rule \#3: The oa spelling and the ou spellings are usually found in the middle of a word.

| oar | aboard | boar | course | discourse |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| soar | hoard | roar | board | paramour |
| coarse | hoarse | four | gourd | court |
| board | hoar | source | pour | courtesan |

Note that the ou spelling can produce a variety of sounds, for example soup, source, sour, and journey. Quite often, the pronunciation will vary from region to region.

Spelling rule \#4: The ar spelling with the or sound is usually found after $w$, $w h$, and $q u$ :

| war | warder | warning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wharf | reward | warp |
| quarter | quartz | quartet |

The spellings aught and ought can both be used to produce the or sound without the $r$.

| bought | brought | fought | aught | taught |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sought |  |  |  |  |
| caught |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| thought |
| :--- |
| distraught |
| wrought |$\quad$| fraught |
| :--- |
| ought |$\quad$| onslaught |
| :--- |

A small group of words use the $a u$ spelling without the $g h$ or the $r$ to produce the same sound.

| taut | jaunt | taunt | jaundice |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| taurine | tautology | jaunty |  |

## Using y, ee, i, ea ie, ey

$T$here are seven different ways we can produce the long ee sound at the end of a word. This must seem rather excessive, but there is a pattern and there are surprisingly few anomalies.

Sometimes the sound is the same but the spelling differs. Take that famous alcoholic beverage. In the United States and Ireland, it is spelled whiskey, but the Canadians, the Scots, and the English spell it whisky. The original Scots Gaelic word was uisge, and the Irish Gaelic word was uisce. Just to make it more interesting, the Scots call it scotch, a word that they never, ever use to describe things Scottish.

Spelling rule \#1: The most common way in which to produce the long $e$ sound at the end of a word is by using the letter $y$ :

| ably | busily | diabolically | happy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| academy | carefully | directory | oligarchy |
| bloody | chemistry | disability | prophecy |
| bogy | democracy | easy | very |

Note that almost any word can be made into an adjective by the addition of the $y$ :

| handy | scary | bloody | jumpy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| shorty | lowly | fatty | jittery |

A small group of words that end in $y$, however, have the long $i$ sound:


When the $y$ follows a vowel at the end of a word, it is usually taking the place of the letter $i$, and thus forms a diphthong. The $y$ is usually silent or sounded very faintly.

| day | buy | boy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| toy | say | guy |

When a word begins with a $y$, the letter is always a consonant. The exceptions are a few rare words like the archaic yclept and scientific words such as ytrium oxide.

When the $y$ appears within a word, that word is most probably of Greek origin and may have the long $i$ sound, the short $i$ sound, or a combination:

| hydroelectric | pyromaniac | lyceum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| symphony | physics | gypsy |

Spelling rule \#2: Another way in which the long $e$ sound can be spelled is with ee.

| knee | tree | whoopee | pedigree |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| three | flee | coffee | jubilee |
| free | agree | degree | chimpanzee |
| bee | decree | levee | filigree |

Fewer than one hundred commonly used English words end in ee. About half of them describe a person or a position:

| licensee trainee employee appointee promisee |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| referee | trustee payee | detainee |

Spelling rule \#3: Another way to obtain the long $e$ sound is to use the letter $i$. There are very few such words, and most of them are exotic imports, including many Italian words. The first seven
words in this list are of course Italian.

| confetti <br> ravioli | broccoli <br> macaroni | graffiti <br> spaghetti | salami |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| safari | ski | khaki | taxi |
| ennui | kiwi | tsunami | chili |
| bikini | sari | yeti |  |
|  | yogi | Nazi |  |

Words containing the double $i$ are extremely rare.

| denarii | skiing | radii | Hawaii |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| genii | alibiing | taxiing | Naxiism |

Occasionally, some of these $i$ or $i i$ words will have the long $i$ sound:

| rabbi | alumni | radii |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| psi | cacti | fungi |

Spelling rule \#4: A very small group of words uses $e a$ for the long $e$ sound:

| sea | pea | lea | guinea |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tea | flea | plea |  |

Spelling rule \#5. Only a few words end in ie with the long e sound:

| laddie <br> aerie | boogie <br> bogie | goalie <br> coolie | zombie <br> rookie |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Note that in the ie group we have a small subgroup of words that have the long $i$ sound. They are mostly short, single-syllable words.

| lie | pie | tie |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| die | vie | hie |

Note that the ie or $y$ is often added to a word to give it a casual or informal sound. Often it is a diminutive.

| granny | sueetie | dinky | Billy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tummy | cutesy | Susie | Johnnie |

## Spelling rule \#6: Another small group of words uses $e y$ to form the long $e$ sound:

| key | kidney <br> bogey | jockey | donkey |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$| gooey |
| :--- |
| trolley |

Note that there is, however, a small group of words that have the $e y$ spelling, but it is pronounced with the long $a$ sound:
fey whey prey

Note that three words-bogey, bogy, and bogie-illustrate the amusing complexity of the words in this group. They are all pronounced the same, but one is a golfing term, the second a ghost, and the third a set of train wheels.

Sometimes the sound is the same but the spelling differs. Take that famous alcoholic beverage. In the United States and Ireland, it is spelled whiskey, but the Canadians, the Scots, and the English spell it whisky. The original Scots Gaelic word was uisge, and the Irish Gaelic word was uisce. Just to make it more interesting, the Scots call it scotch, a word that they never, ever use to describe things Scottish.

Historical note: In the overly quaint Ye Olde Tea Shoppe, the word $y e$ was originally pronounced the. The $y$ takes the place of an ancient letter called a thorn, now no longer used, that had the $t h$ sound. The word yclept, much loved by history buffs, is more properly clept, as the prefix y indicates the past participle only.

It is fascinating to review the variety of sounds that can be produced by the letter $y$ alone or in combination. Take, for example, a word of French origin, quay. Usually, it is pronounced key, but in some
regions it is called a cay and pronounced kay, while in still other parts it may be pronounced $k w a y$.
It is clear that the simple $y$ is the logical ending for most of the words below. The $e y$ and the $i e$ endings are illogical but they are still used.

| jockey | lucky |
| :--- | :--- |
| trolley | holly |
| goalie | daily |

As our spelling slowly changes, there is a natural move towards a more logical spelling. The word ecstasie has long been replaced by ecstasy, and horsey is now commonly spelled horsy.

## CHAPTER 30

## $\underline{U}$ ing u, ue, ew, oo, ou, etc.

In English, the oo sound can be spelled in an astonishing number of ways, yet in nearly half of the eighteen samples given below, the letter $u$ is not used, and in more than half of them the letter $o$ is not used. In two of them, neither the $u$ nor the $o$ is used.

| 00 | do, too | $u i$ | fruit | om tomb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $o+e$ | lose | u+e rude | ough through |  |
| du duty | ou you | wo two |  |  |
| $u e$ true | oe canoe |  |  |  |

To add to the confusion, there are two distinct ways to pronounce this popular sound. Many of these words have the simple oo sound that can be heard in glue and too. There are almost as many words that are pronounced with a distinct $y u$ sound that can be heard in beauty and view:

| few | feud | adieu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| duty | beauty | view |

Often this $y u$ sound is a regionalism and must be respected when and where it is used, but it is gradually disappearing and words that were once pronounced with a $y u$ sound are now often pronounced with the simple oo sound. A good example is the word nuisance. It may be nyusance or noosance. Obviously, there are many words that will always be pronounced with the $y u$ sound.

| fend | beauty | view |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ewe | eunuch | curfew |

Despite this plethora of possible spellings, there is a pattern to be seen and some general spelling rules can be found.

Spelling rule \#1: An extremely large group of words uses the letter $\boldsymbol{u}$ to create the oo sound, sometimes with the silent $e$ after the consonant, sometimes without it.

| abuse <br> allude | amuse <br> assume | accumulate <br> ambulance | ablution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| aluminum |  |  |  |

There are about thirty words that start with the letter $u$ and almost the same number end with it. The following list is a small, representative sample.

| use | ubiquity | you |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| utility | fondu | menu |

Spelling rule \#2: The ue spelling is usually found at the end of a word:

| blue | true | rescue |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| value | continue | virtue |

Note that no commonly used English word starts with ue.
The $u e$ rarely appears in the middle of a word unless it is used with $g u$ or $q u$ :

| tongue | fatigued | croquet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rogue | conquer | delinquent |

Spelling rule \#3. The $e w$ spelling is usually found at the end of a word:

| few | new | blew |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cashew | sinew | screw |

Note that there are only two commonly used English words that start with ew.

The $e w$ is not often found in the middle of a word.

| jewel | peater | skewer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sewage | shrewd | lewd |

Spelling rule \#4: The oo spelling is usually found in the middle of a word:

| fool | choose | room |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| boot | bloom | groove |

Note that the double $o$ is quite rare at the beginning of a word, though there are some scientific words in this group.

| oodles | ooze | oosphere |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| oomph | oophoritis | oomycete |

There are barely a dozen words that have the double $o$ at the end. About half of these are exotic words.

| too | tattoo | shampoo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ballyhoo | kangaroo | igloo |
| bamboo | goo | taboo (also tabu $)$ |
| zoo | cuckoo |  |

A single $o$ may sometimes have the $o o$ sound, with or without the silent $e$, but there are not many such words.

| do | to <br> undo | who <br> unto |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| whose |  |  |$\quad$ lose

Spelling rule \#5: There is another very large group of words that uses the ou spelling to produce the oo sound. Excluding words that contain the suffix ous, we have about sixty words. However, many of these words are recently assimilated French words, or words of French origin that have not yet been anglicized.

| souvenir | douche | bonquet | amour |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| silhouette | boulevard | velour | roulette |
| tourniquet | trousseau | proupierte <br> crower | bivonac |

There are many other words in this group that may be considered fully assimilated, no matter their origin.

| tour | ghoul | coup | coulee |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| detour | soup | recoup | routine |
| uncouth | group | rouge | through |
| youth | wound | coupon |  |
|  | route | acoustics |  |

Spelling rule \#6: The ou is almost always used in the middle of a word. Few words start or finish with ou.

| you |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| oubliette | bayou <br> ouzo | bijou |

Note that there a several other ways to produce the oo sound in English.
Many scientific words use the oe diphthong, but not always with the oo sound. For example, onomatopoeia or coelacanth. Which leaves us with just two words in this group-canoe and shoe.

The eau combination is used in only one word and its derivatives-beauty, beautiful, beauteous, beautician.

The iew combination is used in only one word and its derivatives-view, interview, review, purview.
The ough spelling with the oo sound is used in only one word and its derivative-through and throughout.

The wo group consists of just a tiny group of words.

In the ieu group, we have a very small group of words that are mostly of French origin:

| purlieu | lieu | lieutenant |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| milieu | pre-dieu | adieu |

An unusual but very ancient pair of words use the om spelling to achieve the oo sound-tomb and womb.

There is a very large group of words that use the $e u$ spelling. Most of these are scientific words, but there are quite a number of words in general use:

| rheumatism | pneumonia | eugenics | eucalyptus |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eureka | pseudo | eulogy | dence |
| neutral | sleuth | amateur | fend |

A very small group of words uses $u i$ to achieve the oo sound:.

| bruise | cruise | sluice | suit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| recruit | juice | fruit |  |

The $u a$ group of words can be eliminated because $u a$ is not a true diphthong; i.e., it is pronounced not as one syllable, but two. There are many words that contain this vowel combination, but in most cases the $a l$ is a suffix and when pronounced correctly, does not produce the $o o$ sound.

| dual <br> annual | sexual <br> actual | gradual <br> manual | accrual <br> habitual |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | punctual

A note on pronunciation. There will always be differences of opinion regarding the correct pronunciation of the $o o$ sound. With some words, it is clearly an oo sound, whereas with others the sound is closer to uh. Compare wound and would. This is a matter of personal preference and does not affect the spelling.

In review, we can see that the eighteen possible combinations can be reduced to a mere half dozen important spellings that group themselves into logical patterns.

## CHAPTER 31

## Using al, tial, cial, sial

$M$ any nouns can be made into adjectives by the addition of the suffix al:
logical herbal coastal additional

Sometimes it is necessary to preserve or create a soft $s$ sound before the $a l$, so an $i$ is inserted, resulting in either cial or tial. There are about seventy commonly used words that employ one of these endings. Because they sound more or less, the same, the problem is which one to use.

## Historical footnote:

In the overly quaint Ye Olde Tea Shoppe, the word ye was originally pronounced the. The $y$ takes the place of an ancient letter called a thorn, now no longer used, that had the $t h$ sound. The word yclept, much loved by history buffs, is more properly clept, as the prefix $y$ indicates the past participle only.

Spelling rule \#1: The vast majority of words in this group will end in tial. This includes all words coming from a root that ends in $t$ :

| torrential |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| presidential | differential <br> penitential |

Spelling rule \#2: Words that come from a root that ends in a soft $\boldsymbol{c}$ or an $\boldsymbol{x}$ will use the cial ending:

| commercial | official | provincial crucial |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| racial | facial | social |

Spelling rule \#3: A major exception is any word that comes from a root that ends in ence or ance. These will use tial:

| sequential | confidential residential <br> circumstantial insubstantial | essential <br> preferential |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Anomalies include equinoctial, palatial, and axial.
Note that only two commonly used English words use the highly logical sial ending: ambrosial and controversial.

Note also that some dictionaries offer a choice between spacial and spatial. Obviously, the correct spelling should be spacial. Similarly, the adjective palatial makes absolutely no sense, but the logical palacial has not yet appeared in our dictionaries.

## CHAPTER 32

Using efy and ify

The endings efy and ify are not a difficult problem because there are only three anomalies. The verb suffix $f y$ means "to make or to become," and there are about one hundred words that use this suffix, which comes from the Latin facere, meaning "to make." Solidify, for example, means "to make solid."

## Spelling rule \#1: The ending ify is used with almost all the words in this group:

dignify

amplify \begin{tabular}{l}
solidify <br>
identify

$\quad$

terrify <br>
testify

$\quad$

liquify <br>
rarify
\end{tabular}

Spelling rule \#2: The ending efy is used with only three words and their derivatives:

| putrefy <br> stupefy | putrefying <br> stupefied | putrefaction <br> stupefyingly |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tumefy | tumefying |  |
| tumefaction |  |  |

Until quite recently, liquify and rarify were spelled with an $e$. Three efy words come from Latin roots that contain the letter $e$ : liquere, putrere, and stupere, but rarify comes from rarus, which does not contain an $e$. The modern spelling is therefore correct. In time the other words will follow suit and exchange the $e$ for an $i$.

Naturally, there are many other words that end in $f y$ but do not contain this ify suffix. They often have the long $e$ sound, but there are other vowel sounds, too:
leafy beefy goofy fluffy

## Using cede, sede, ceed, seed

Although there is only a small handful of commonly used English words that use one of these endings, despite their small numbers, they can cause a great deal of irritation. This takes a little explanation. Supersede comes from the Latin sedere, meaning "to sit," whereas most of the other words come from ceder, "to go ahead." Unfortunately, over the centuries, the spelling has gotten slightly mixed so that we now have some words spelled with ee.

Spelling rule \#1: The spelling seed is only used for seed, including its compounds and derivatives:

> seed seeding reseed seedlings poppy seed apple seed

## Spelling rule \#2: Almost all the other words use cede (from ceder):

| cede | recede | antecede <br> intercede | concede <br> precede |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| secede | accede |  |  |

Spelling rule \#3: There are two other possible endings, eed and ede:

| exceed | exceeding | succeed succeeding <br> proceed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| supersede |  |  |

Note that supersede is the only commonly used word in the English language to use sede.
When the long $e$ sound is changed to a short $e$ sound, it will be spelled with only one $e$-succeed, success, intercede, intercession. One curiosity is proceed, which loses the double $e$ when it becomes procedure but retains the long $e$ sound.

The word emceed is the past tense of emcee, which is formed from an acronym (MC, Master of Ceremonies) and is so new that some writers prefer not to use it.

## CHAPTER 34

## Using er, or, ar, re, our

$T$hese five endings should pose no problem. This is because we use only three of them. Two hundred years ago, Noah Webster eliminated both the re and the our from American English and simplified the whole matter. The British still cling to numerous words spelled with the imitation French our ending -colour, labour, honour, neighbour. In the U.S. we have only a handful-compare hour, four, tour.

| our | contour | your | devour | sour |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| flour | dour | detour | pour | tour |
| four | scour | hour | velour |  |

The re ending also illustrates a difference between British and American spelling. On the other side of the Atlantic, they spell about three dozen words with the $r$ before the $e$-millimetre, centre, theatre, etc.. In the U.S., we have reduced it to fewer than half a dozen commonly used words that are spelled with the $r e$ only so that they will conform to the soft and hard $c$ and $g$ rules:
acre lucre massacre mediocre ogre

Spelling rule \#1: The er ending is used for occupations or for persons who carry out an action:

| butcher | uriter | eater | teacher |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lawyer | baker | jumper | drinker |
| driver | banker | maker | porter |

Spelling rule \#2: The or ending is used with root words that end in $t$ or $s$ :

| actor | editor | doctor | successor | supervisor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| visitor | collector | professor | sponsor |  |

During the 18th century, there was a period when French spellings were fashionable and a number of words were spelled with either or or our:

| governor | ambassador councilor survivor jailor |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| counselor | surveyor | chancellor | conqueror | author |

Note that words with a soft $c$ or a soft $g$ will naturally need the $e r$ ending to retain the correct sound:
grocer officer manager passenger

If the word ends in a silent $e$, it will usually take the $e r$ ending:

| bake | baker | write | writer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hike | hiker | smoke | smoker |

Comparative adjectives usually end in er.

| larger | smaller | better |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bigger | taller | shorter |

Spelling rule \#3: The vast majority of words ending in ar are adjectives:

| familiar | particular | circular |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| triangular | regular | modular |

However, there are about sixty nouns that also end in ar:

| dollar | nectar | grammar funicular seminar |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| beggar | calendar | quasar | molar |

Note that sometimes the different spelling indicates a different meaning:
A sailer is a type of ship, but a sailor is a seaman.

A censer is for burning incense, but a censor is a person.
A quitter is a person who quits, but quittor is a horse disease.
Lumber refers to wood, but lumbar refers to the spine.
Note that finger is an anomaly because the $e r$ is part of the root word. It also breaks the hard $g$ rule.
Some dictionaries offer adviser or advisor, also conjurer or conjuror. As these persons are carrying out an action, the ending should be er.

## CHAPTER 35

## Using y, ry, ary, ery, iry, <br> ory, ury, yry

$T_{0}$ into logical patterns. Almost all of these endings are simply variations of the $r y$ suffix.

The word emceed is the past tense of emcee, which is formed from an acronym (MC, Master of Ceremonies) and is so new that some writers prefer not to use it.

Spelling rule \#1: Most words in this group simply use the root word followed by $r y$. If the word ends in $r$, do not double the $r$ :

| burglary | flowery | wintery | predatory | quatery |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| blistery | floury | summery | rectory | rubbery |
|  | armory | hairy | silvery |  |

## Spelling rule \#2: The silent $e$ is often dropped:

| inquire inquiry <br> wire | treasure treasury mire miry |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| winjure injury |  |

And the vowel before the $r y$ is often dropped:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { ancestor ancestry anger angry } & \text { register registry } \\
\text { enter entry barometer barometry hunger hungry }
\end{array}
$$

Note that most of the words that end in ary are adjectives.

| temporary | fragmentary | imaginary auxiliary |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| necessary | solitary | rudimentary |

But the nouns in the ary group are usually complete words:.

| library | rosary | secretary |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| commissary | notary | dictionary |

Spelling rule \#3: Most of the words that end in ery are nouns that often describe either an occupation or an action:

| archery | hatchery | surgery |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| adultery | lechery | thievery |
| brewery | sorcery | treachery |

Note that there are adjectives in the ery group, but they are there because the root word ends in er:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { water watery } & \text { blister blistery } & \text { winter wintery } \\
\text { copper coppery } & \text { flower flowery } & \text { twitter twittery } \\
\text { silver silvery } & \text { rubber rubbery } &
\end{array}
$$

Words that end in ory are fairly evenly divided between nouns and adjectives. Most ory endings follow an $s$ or a $t$ :

| advisory | expository | supervisory | sensory |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| accessory | promissory | valedictory | laboratory |

There are many words that end in $r y$ where the $r y$ is not a suffix:

| iwory | theory | mystery | glory |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| memory | rosary | allegory | history |

There are only a few commonly used words that end in ury.

| perjury | century | usury | treasury |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| injury | luxury | flonry | mercury |

Even fewer commonly used words end in iry:

| airy | wiry | hairy | miry |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fairy | inquiry | dairy |  |

And only two words use the $y r y$ spelling-porphyry and eyry. There are four ways to spell this rare word-eyry, eyrie, aery, and aerie.

There are only about thirty words that use the double $r$, which occurs mostly after a short vowel:

| carry | berry | lorry | flurry |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| marry | cherry | sorry | slurry |

English is a constantly changing language, and it is possible that eventually most of the words in this group will end in the simple ry. The archaic jewellery is already spelled jewelry, and wintery is gradually being replaced by wintry. Perhaps we may one day lose the surplus $e$ in tomfoolery.

## Using ly, ally, ely, ily, lly, uly

$T$ this, and there is a reason for each one. The rules are clear. There are few anomalies.

Spelling rule \#1: In the vast majority of cases, we simply add $l y$ to the root word:

| quickly | softly | kindly | firmly |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| slowly | perfectly | coldly | astonishingly |

If the root word ends in $l$ or if it has the suffix $f u l$, we retain the $l$ when we add $l y$. It will seem to have doubled the $l$, but this is not a double $l$. It just looks like it.

| annually | finally | beautifully |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| infinitesimally | gracefully | formally |

Spelling rule \#2: If the root word ends in al, then the suffix must be ally:

| finally | royally | annually |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dismally | formally | critically |

Spelling rule \#3: Words ending in ic always use ally to retain the hard $c$. There are many words in this group:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { academically dramatically artistically frantically } \\
\text { mechanically automatically organically cynically }
\end{array}
$$

The anomaly is public, which becomes publicly.

## Spelling rule \#4: In most cases the silent $\boldsymbol{e}$ is retained:

| leisurely | desperately <br> closely | impassively <br> finely | fortumately <br> sensitively |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| widely |  |  |  |

But some commonly used words drop the silent $e$ :
wholly duly only truly

Words ending in le simply change the $e$ to a $y$ :

```
terribly subtly horribly
```

Spelling rule \#5: Change the $\boldsymbol{y}$ to $\boldsymbol{i}$ before adding the suffix:

| happily | prettily |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| funnily | ordinarily | | dizzily |
| :--- |
| nastily |$\quad$ bloodily

But there is a small group of single-syllable words that do not change the $y$ to $i$ :

| coyly | dryly <br> shylyly | feyly <br> spryly | wryly |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Chapter 37

## Using able and ible

$T$he spelling rules governing the suffixes able and ible are quite simple if we bear in mind that ible is a variant of able and is used in only a few cases. These suffixes are mainly used to create adjectives, and there are hundreds of them. When new words are coined, they usually use able. When one of these words is changed into another form, the pattern does not change, so we may treat them as a single group.

| Verb | Adjective | Adverb | Noun |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rely | reliable | reliably | reliability |
| depend | dependable | dependably | dependability |
| envision | visible | visibly | visibility |

Spelling rule \#1: Add able to the whole root word:

| avoid | avoidable | accept | acceptable |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| break | breakable | wash | washable |
| eat | eatable | reason | reasonable |
| drink | drinkable | fix | fixable |

Spelling rule \#2: If the root does not appear to be a complete word, it will probably take ible:

| audible <br> credible | edible <br> fallible | indelible <br> negligible |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | | ostensible |
| :--- |
| legible |

Spelling rule \#3: A large number of root words that end in $s$ or $t$ use the ible ending. Also, words ending in a soft $\boldsymbol{c}$ or a soft $\boldsymbol{g}$ will also usually use the ible ending.

| sensible | accessible | reversible | collapsible |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| constructible | contemptible | exhaustible | convertible |
| forcible | irascible | illegible | tangible |

Spelling rule \#4: Words ending in a silent $e$ usually drop the silent $e$ when adding able or ible, especially when it follows a soft $\boldsymbol{c}$ or soft $\boldsymbol{g}$ :
admirable reducible debatable submergible
consolable defensible sensible forgivable
Note that there is no commonly used English word that ends in eible.
Spelling rule \#5: If the root ends in a soft $\boldsymbol{c}$ or a soft $\boldsymbol{g}$, it must retain the silent $\boldsymbol{e}$ if the suffix is able:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { noticeable } & \text { bridgeable } & \text { peaceable } & \text { changeable } \\
\text { manageable } & \text { useable } & \text { salvageable } & \text { likeable }
\end{array}
$$

But in order to retain the correct sound, a small group of words do not lose the silent $e$ :

| agreeable | impermeable | decreeable <br> permeable |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tapeable |  |  |

If the root word ends in $i$ or in a $y$ which will change to an $i$, the suffix cannot be $i b l e$, as we would then have a double $i$. We must use able.
variable enviable reliable certifiable

Spelling rule \#6: If the root word ends in a hard $c$ or a hard $g$, it must be followed by able to preserve the sound of the consonant:
implacable indefatigable despicable navigable

Words ending in $x$ take able, with one exception.

The exception is flexible. There seems to be no logical reason for this exception, so let's just say that English is a flexible language.

Continuity is essential when forming derivatives. If the root word can accept the suffix ate or ation, then it must use able:

| demonstrate | demonstration | demonstrable |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tolerate | toleration | tolerable |
| tax | taxation | taxable |
| impregnate | impregnation | impregnable |

To retain continuity when forming derivatives, if the root can accept ion but not ation, then we must use ible:

| audit | audition | audible |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| combust | combustion | combustible |
| collect | collection | collectible |
| corrupt | corruption | corruptible |

## CHAPTER 38

## Using ous, ious, eous, uous

$T$ he suffix ous, which means "full of or like," is used to create adjectives. There are many of these words, but the rules governing the use of the suffix are simple and logical. Over time, many of the root words have disappeared completely or only a portion of the root word remains, but we still have the adjective.

Spelling rule \#1: In the majority of cases, the ous is added to the root word or a portion of the root word. Almost always, if the root ends in a consonant it will take the simple ous:

| danger dangerous | hazard hazardous |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| marvel marvelous | odor | odorous |
| cancer cancerous |  |  |

Spelling rule \#2: If the root word ends in a silent $e$, then, in most cases, the $e$ is dropped.

| nerve | nervous | ridicule | ridiculous |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fame | famous | adventure | adventurous |
| desire | desirous | torture | torturous |
| blaspheme | blasphemous |  |  |

Spelling rule \#3: If the root word ends in a soft $g$ followed by a silent $e$, then the $e$ is retained to protect the $g$ but it is still silent:

| advantage advantageous rampage rampageous |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| courage courageous | umbrage umbrageous |
| outrage outrageous |  |

Spelling rule \#4: If the root ends in a soft $\boldsymbol{c}$ followed by a silent $e$, then the $e$ is changed to $i$ and has the $s h$ sound:

| grace | gracious | vice | vicious |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| malice | malicious | space | spacious |
| office | officious | avarice | avaricious |

Spelling rule \#5: If the root ends in $y$, we change the $y$ to $i$ and the new word will have the long $e$ sound:

| envy envious | vary | various |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| harmony harmonious | fury | furious |
| mystery mysterious | luxury | luxurious |

Note these two anomalies-piteous and beauteous. The eous is pronounced as two syllables, e-ous.
If the root ends in $c, t$, or $x$, then the suffix will be ious and it will have a sh sound. While many of the root words have disappeared, we still have the adjectives.

| conscious | delicious | anxious |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| obnoxious | ambitious | cautious |

If the root ends in $u$, the $u$ is retained and is always pronounced clearly:

| fatuous | virtuous | voluptwous |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| impetuous | arduous | conspicuous |

In the largest group of ious words, the $i$ is always pronounced clearly and with the long e sound:

| bilious | vicarious | serious |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hilarious | curious | sanctimonious |

If the root ends in an $f$, it will change to a $v$. There are only two commonly used words in this group
—grievous and mischievous (which has only three syllables, not four).
Up to this point the spelling rules for the ous words are clear and logical, but there are at least fifty commonly used English words that have the eous ending, even though the $e$ is not protecting a soft $g$ and is clearly pronounced. Logically, most of these words should use an $i$. But they do not.

| hideous | spontaneous | instantaneous | extraneous <br> miscellaneous |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | erroneous | righteous | bounteous |
|  | gaseous | nauseous |  |

## Сhapter 39

## Using tion, sion, ssion, tian, cian, cion, shion, xion, sian, cean

$T$he tion ending, in all its variations, is usually used to turn a verb into a noun. There are hundreds of examples. This "shun" ending can be produced in about ten different ways. If this seems rather excessive, there is a logical pattern. Interestingly, there is no commonly used English word that uses shun as an ending, apart from the word shun.

Spelling rule \#1: By far the majority of words in this category will use the tion ending:

| attention |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| imitation | reflection |
| assimilation | invention |
| fraction |  |

Spelling rule \#2: The suffix sion is a variation of tion and is usually used after roots ending in $d, s$ with a silent $e$, and sometimes $t$ :

| pretend pretension | converse conversion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| comprehend comprehension | convulse convulsion |
| profuse profusion | avert aversion |

Words ending in double $s$ will be spelled ssion:

| confess | confession | access | accession |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| possess | possession | express | expression |

Words ending in mit will also use the double $s$ :

| remit | remission | permit | permission |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| admit | admission | commit | commission |

Words ending in cede and ceed also use the double $s$ :

| secede | secession | proceed | procession |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| accede | accession | concede | concession |

Spelling rule \#3: The cian ending is almost always used to indicate a trade, skill, or a profession:

| optician | mortician | musician |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| beautician | physician | magician |

The tian ending is similar to cian, but usually indicates a place of origin or a belief:
Martian Dalmatian Christian Faustian
Note that sometimes these suffixes appear to be interchangeable, but they are not. They may have to be spelled with a $c$ or an $s$ or another consonant, depending on the root word.
Thracian Joycean Asian Philadelphian

Fewer than half a dozen words use xion. Some writers still insist on inflexion and genuflexion instead of inflection and genuflection, but these are archaic forms. There are only five words remaining, and one of these is slowly changing. Complexion is becoming complection.
crucifixion suffixion transfixion effluxion

There is also a very small group of words with other endings:

| ocean |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| cushion | suspicion <br> cetacean | | fashion |
| :--- |
| coercion |

Considering the hundreds of words that use the shun ending, it is truly astonishing how many of them fit
neatly into the spelling rules and how very few are anomalies.

## Using le, el, al, il, ol, ul, yl

$W^{\prime}$hen we consider that all these endings make more or less the same sound, this is an extraordinary range of possibilities. A careful look will show that there are quite valid reasons and there is a pattern to it all. It is obvious that poor pronunciation often leads to confusion between these endings. The al suffix, for example, can be easily distinguished if it is clearly pronounced, but the el suffix is all too often slurred into a le or a schwa sound. The three most common endings are $l e, e l$, and $a l$. The problem is simplified if we bear in mind the difference between an angel and an angle.

Spelling rule \#1: If the root ends in a soft $c$ or a soft $g$, it cannot be followed by $l e$ or al, it must therefore use el:
cancel parcel excel angel cudgel

Spelling rule \#2: If the ending follows a hard $c$ or a hard $g$, it cannot be $e l$ and must therefore be either le or al:

| magical | chemical | struggle | vehicle |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| miracle | angle | jingle | regal |
| musical | frugal | prodigal |  |

## Spelling rule \#3: The vast majority of words ending in al are adjectives:

| horizontal | suicidal | universal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tidal | vertical | musical |
| medical | colossal | chemical |

Note that words ending in the silent $e$ will lose the $e$ before the al:
brutal tidal universal suicidal

Spelling rule \#4: A large majority of words ending in cle or ckle are nouns:

| miracle | tentacle | article | buckle |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| barnacle | testicle | particle | cockle |
| monocle | vehicle | icicle | freckle |

In English spelling, the le never follows the letters $m, n, r, v$, and $w$. Only six words use sle:

| isle | lisle | tussle |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| aisle | hassle | tousle |

The following consonants are usually followed by $l e: b, d, f, g, p$, and $z$. There are a few exceptions:
The letter $t$ may be followed by $l e, e l$, or al:

| beetle | hotel | coastal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mantle | pastel | total |

The $y l$ ending is found mainly on scientific words such as pterodactyl and chlorophyl, leaving us with only two commonly used words-sibyl and idyl.

Very few words end in $u l$. If we exclude almost two hundred words that end in ful (useful, beautiful, etc.) and words containing the diphthongs $a u$ and ou (haul, maul, ghoul, foul, etc.), we are left with only four $u l$ words:
annul consul ampul mogul

Words ending in ol are also quite rare. If we exclude words containing the diphthong oo (school, wool, etc.), we are left with fewer than two dozen ol words, and one third of these are chemical terms:

| control | idol | viol | alcohol | menthol |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| gambol | carol | pistol | aerosol | glycol |
| capitol | patrol | parasol | vitriol | petrol |
| extol |  |  |  | ethanol |

Nor are there many words that end in il. If we exclude words that contain the diphthongs oi and ai (oil, soil, ail, tail, etc.), we are left with about three dozen words:

| anvil <br> fossil | pupil <br> devil | tonsil <br> council | utensil |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Note that all the words in this group have a single $l$. When a suffix that begins with an $l$ is added, it only appears that the $l$ has been doubled.

```
diagonally rascally vertically
```

Many dictionaries offer duffel bag and duffle coat on the same page. Since there are no fel words in English, both words should follow the spelling rule-duffle bag and duffle coat.

## CHAPTER 41

## Using ize, ise, yze, yse

$T$here are a great number of words that end in these spellings. The spelling rules that govern them are quite clear and there are few anomalies. The ize suffix has been in use for about four hundred years. It means "to become like or resemble" and is most commonly added to adjectives and nouns to create verbs. Lately, this suffix has become so popular that some experts believe that it is overused. Many writers refuse to use such words as finalize or prioritize, but these words are now common usage and are in the dictionaries. If they follow the spelling rules and their meaning is clear. they should be accepted.

In American spelling the suffix is almost always ize, whereas in British spelling it is often ise. However, this is rapidly changing, and the ize spelling is now all but universally accepted.

Spelling rule \#1: If the ending is an unaccented final syllable, it will probably be a suffix and will be spelled ize:

```
modernize pasteurize vocalize standardize
```

Spelling rule \#2: If the final syllable is accented, it is probably part of the root and will be spelled ise-surprise and revise. There are, however, a few words in this group that do not accent the final syllable-chastise and clockwise.

Note that $y z e$ is mainly restricted to scientific terms. Very few commonly used words have this ending:
analyze paralyze electrolyze hydrolyze

Curiously enough, the British spell some of these words with yse, thereby adding yet another ending for them to worry about, but one less for us in America. There are no commonly used words that end in yse.

Only about ten percent of the words in this general category are spelled with ise, and these can be divided into a few small groups.
(1) Words based upon the old English word wise, meaning either "intelligence" or "direction." These words are usually compounds:

| wise | worldly-wise | contrariwise |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| unvise | clockwise | edgewise |
| nowise | connterclockwise | endavise |
| otherwise | likewise | lengthwise |
| streetwise |  | slantwise |

(2) Words ending in vise or cise, which are Latin roots and not suffixes:

| advise | revise | excise |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| improvise | circumcise | exorcise |
| devise | exercise | incise |

(3) A small group of words ending in rise:

| rise | apprise | enterprise | uprise |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| arise | comprise | reprise | emprise |
| moonrise | sunrise | surprise | prise |

(4) Four words that end in mise:
demise surmise remise compromise
(5) Two words that end in uise-guise and disguise.

And of course there are always a few words that refuse to fit themselves into any group:

Three of these words are in the process of change. The word advertise is now quite often spelled advertize. If we are prepared to accept advert as a noun, then the verbs advertize and advertizing are logically correct. The words franchise and merchandise are nouns. When they are used as verbs, the spelling ought to be franchize and merchandize.

Note that some words that are spelled with ize do not belong in this category because the ize is not a true suffix or because it is part of a diphthong:
assize capsize prize seize baize

But there are two words that reverse the rule-prize and prise. Spelled with a $z$ it is a noun, but spelled with an $s$ it is a verb.

There are about a dozen words that are spelled with ise that are even more anomalous because of their various pronunciations:

| paradise | valise | premise | imprecise |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| chemise | expertise | cerise | promise |
| precise | concise | mortise | treatise |

In review, we can see that the four suffixes can be reduced to two major groups. In the first and largest, we have the words ending in ize. In the second, we have words that end in ise. These words may be nouns, verbs, or adjectives, but the ise ending is almost never a suffix. There are very few true anomalies. With advertize, franchize, finalize, and a few other words, it is interesting to note that the change in spelling is toward the spelling rule, not away from it.

## Using ical, acle, icle

$H_{\text {ere is another set of suffixes that appear at first glance to be tricky but are actually quite simple. }}^{\text {e }}$.
Spelling rule \#1: Words ending in ical are almost always adjectives. There are well over three hundred of them. A tiny sample:

| chemical <br> musical | cyclical | logical |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cubical | lyrical | clinical |
| statistical |  |  |
| angelical | medical |  |

Spelling rule \#2: Words ending in acle and icle are almost always nouns. There are about three dozen of them.
barnacle obstacle article cubicle

Note that there is very little difference between the two suffixes acle and icle. Logically, they should all be spelled with the icle ending, but, because of the origin of the root word, we have a division:
(1) Words ending in acle:

| debacle | barnacle | binnacle | manacle | spectacle |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pinnacle | tabernacle coracle | oracle | tentacle |  |
| miracle | obstacle | pentacle | receptacle |  |

(2) And words ending in icle:

| cubicle | vehicle | follicle | particle | canticle |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| chicle | vesicle | article | radicle | cuticle |
| ossicle | fascicle | pedicle <br> chronicle | ventricle | testicle |
| icicle |  |  | clavicle |  |

Note that although the words in this group have an ending that sounds rather like cul there is no commonly used English word that actually ends in cul.

And only four words end in col:
glycol caracol protocol col

## Using ant, ent, ance, ence, ense

Hundreds of words end in ant or ent, but, alas, time and usage have created such a tangle that there are few clear rules. This group of words contains nouns, adjectives, and even some verbs. The problem can be traced all the way back to the roots of many of these words and involves certain Latin conjugations. Obviously, this is no help to the modern student, and so the best we can do is list a few basic rules and a number of guidelines. .

The most common ending is ent, with roughly seventy-five percent of the words in this group. But there are so many words involved that a large number end in ant. Generally speaking, most of the words that end in ant are nouns, whereas most of the words ending in ent are adjectives. This, again alas, is by no means a general rule.

## Spelling rule \#1: After a hard $c$ or a hard $g$, the suffix will be ant:

| communicant applicant arrogant | fumigant <br> lubricant | intoxicant | elegant |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Spelling rule \#2: After a soft $\boldsymbol{c}$ or a soft $\boldsymbol{g}$, the suffix will be ent:

| innocent <br> reticent | adolescent <br> magnificent | urgent <br> convergent | pungent <br> intelligent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Spelling rule \#3: There must be continuity:

| resistant resistance | different | difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| significant significance | resurgent | resurgence |

Generally, the suffix ent indicates a quality or a characteristic. The word will probably be an adjective:

| excellent | efficient | complacent <br> intelligent | negligent <br> impotent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Generally, the suffix ant indicates a person or thing that does something. The word will probably be a noun:

| pretendant | fumigant | lubricant |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| confidant | deodorant | occupant |
| contestant | assistant | defendant |

A great number of words that end in ent or ant do not fit into either category, however, because the ending does not form a suffix:

| cement | relent | plant |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| present | current | rampant |
| innocent | currant | infant |

Just to add to the confusion, we have a group of words that end in ense:

| dense | condense | offense | immense |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| defense | license | nonsense | incense |
| intense | tense | expense | recompense |
| sense | dispense | pretense | suspense |

What is fascinating about this group of ense words is that the British spell some of them just the opposite way:

| pretense pretence | defense <br> offense <br> offence | license |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| licence |  |  |

On the eastern side of the Atlantic, licence is the noun while the verb is license. On the western side of the Atlantic, license is used as both noun and verb.

There are times when the different ending gives the word a completely different meaning:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { dependent dependant } & \text { current } & \text { currant } \\
\text { confident confidant } & \text { valence } & \text { valance }
\end{array}
$$

## Using sy and cy

$H_{\text {undreds of English words end in either } s y \text { or } c y \text {. Words ending in } c y \text { are most common and outnumber }}^{\text {and }}$ the $s y$ words by about four to one.

One of the differences between these two endings is the sound. The $c y$ has a hard $s$ sound while the $s y$ is soft. Compare fancy and daisy.

Spelling rule \#1: The suffix $c y$ is used to form nouns from root words that end in $t, t e, n t$, and tic:

| urgent | urgency | truant | truancy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| frequent | frequency | democrat | democracy |
| lunatic | lunacy | accurate | accuracy |
| pregnant | pregnancy | idiot | idiocy |
| private | privacy |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Spelling rule \#2: The cy ending may also denote rank, office, or title:

| regency | confederacy | excellency |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| presidency | papacy | episcopacy |

Spelling rule \#3: No commonly used verbs end in cy. These words will be mostly nouns, but there are a few adjectives which come from roots that end in $c$ or $c e$ :

| icy | racy | juicy | saucy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| spicy | bouncy | lacy | fleecy |

Spelling rule \#4: The $s y$ ending is also used to create nouns or adjectives. The adjectives in this group tend to be informal or diminutive:

| dressy | cutesy | cosy | folksy | tipsy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nosy | bossy | choosy | lousy | teensy |

A number of words that end in sy are medical terms that end in epsy, opsy, etc.:

| autopsy | leprosy | epilepsy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| biopsy | catalepsy | narcolepsy |

But no commonly used verbs end in $s y$.
Needless to say, there are numerous words, both nouns and adjectives, that end in $s y$ or $c y$ where these endings are not suffixes:

| mercy | fancy | busy | daisy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| policy | discrepancy | clumsy | queasy |

## CHAPTER 45

## Using igh, ough, augh

$W_{\mathrm{i}}$ ithout a doubt. the most annoying spellings in the English language are the ancient igh, ough, and augh. They are thousand-year-old relics that should have vanished centuries ago, but never did. The $g h$ sound was once pronounced and can still be heard in the Scottish pronunciation of loch, but though the spelling remains, the sound has disappeared from general speech.

A great many popular words contain one of these spellings and there are no rules to help the student. The best we can do is list the most commonly used words according to their various sounds.

Note that the British pronounce slough to rhyme with cow. In North America, the word slough has become two words. When referring to a swamp or mud hole, it is slue. When it is used as a verb to describe the removal of a reptile's skin, or a similar action, it is sluff.
(1) The long $i$ sound with $i g h$ :

| height | wright | right | tight | delight |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sleight | bight | alright | blight | plight |
| slight | light | knight | night | flight |
| fright | alight |  | sight | fight |
|  | might |  | bright |  |

Note that height and sleight are the only commonly used words that use the ei spelling.
(2) The f sound with augh and ough:
lough

drought \begin{tabular}{l}
tough <br>
trough

$\quad$

rough <br>
enough

 

cough <br>
slough
\end{tabular}

Note that laugh and draught are the only commonly used words that use the au spelling, and draught has now been largely replaced by draft.
(3) The long $u$ sound with ough-through and slough.
(4) The ow sound with ough:

| sough | bough |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

(5) The oh sound with ough:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { though } \\
\text { although }
\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { furlough } \\
\text { thorough }
\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { borough } \\
\text { dough }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

(6) The $a w$ sound with ough:

| ought | thought | nought |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fought | brought | wrought |
| sought | bought | besought |

(7) The $a w$ sound with augh:

| aught | caught | taught | daughter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| naught | onslaught | haughty | distraught |
| naughty | fraught | slaughter |  |

Note nought or naught. The nought spelling is preferable.
Note also that aught and ought have different meanings.
(8) The ay sound with eigh and aigh:

| eight | inveigh | neigh | freight | weight |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| aweigh | weigh | neighbor | sleigh | straight |

Note that straight is the only word in this group that uses the ai spelling.
There are additional words that contain the gh spelling:

| ghost | spaghetti | yoghurt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ghastly | shillelagh | dinghy |
| aghast | moghul | carragheen |

Note that the Indian word dingi later gained an unnecessary $h$. The words ghost, ghastly, and aghast were originally spelled goost, gastly, and agast.

Fortunately, some changes are slowly beginning to appear. The $h$ has been dropped from three of the nine words listed above:

```
carrageen yogurt mogul
```

In the igh group, the spellings brite, flite, lite, and nite are now widely used in advertizing and in trade names. In time, this spelling will be in general use.

Notes:
(1) In the ough group, the new spellings tho, altho, and thoro are now minimally acceptable and often used.
(2) Boro in place of borough is quite common in placenames.
(3) Doughnut is almost always spelled donut.
(4) Beseeched is now more commonly used than besought.
(5) The spelling thru is quite popular. It is widely used on road signs and in the transportation industry and on restaurants that offer a "drive-thru." Although it has been around for over one hundred years, it has not yet been fully accepted.
(6) The word plough has been replaced by plow.
(7) The awkward word drought is pronounced in a number of interesting ways. The most common are drout and drouth.
(8) In the $f$ group, the board game of draughts is called checkers in North America and the word draught is now spelled draft.

## CHAPTER 46

## Using f, ff, ph, gh

$T$he $f$ sound can be produced in four different ways, but by far the most common is the single $f$, which can be found at the beginning, in the middle, or at the end of a word. Only about sixty words end in a single $f$. Many of the nouns among them will change the $f$ to ve when made into a plural.

Spelling rule \#1: A single $f$ is used at the beginning of a word:

| father | fly | funny |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| former | farther | fruit |

Spelling rule \#2: A single $\boldsymbol{f}$ is used when it follows a long vowel sound:

| waif | chief <br> wife | sofa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| leaf | hoof |  |

Spelling rule \#3: A single $f$ is used when it is preceded by a consonant:
dwarf
shelf
surf
half
golf
scarf

Spelling rule \#4: The double $f$ is used after a short vowel sound. These words are usually single syllable words. In multi-syllable words, the double $f$ is always preceded by a short vowel sound.


Note that no commonly used English word starts with a double $f$.
A single $f$ may occasionally follow a short vowel sound when the $f$ is followed by a consonant. These words will usually be compounds or the $f$ will be followed by the letter $t$.
antifreeze
cauliflower fifty
lift
raft
croft

Anomalies include of, if, chef, clef, and pilaf.
There are two other ways to produce the $f$ sound. We can use $g h$ or $p h$. The $g h$ digraph is the least common of the $f$ sounds, but, unfortunately, it is attached to some very popular words:

| cough <br> rough | trough |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tough |  |$\quad$| enough |
| :--- |
| laugh |$\quad$| draught |
| :--- |
| slough |

Most of these very old words did not originally have this $g h$ ending. All but one were spelled with a simple $h$ and were pronounced rather like the Scottish loch or the Irish lough.

| cough | coghen | enough | genoh |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rough | ruh | trough | troh |
| draught | draht | laugh | hlah |
| tough | toh | slough | sluh |

While the British still cling to draught and draughty, in North America we have long ago switched to draft and drafty. Similarly, while they spell it slough and pronounce it to rhyme with cow, we are in the process of switching to slue and sluff, depending on how the word is used.

As for the other words, their days are numbered. At the present time no dictionary contains the words tuff, coff, or laff. but they have already made their debut in comic strips, colloquial writing, advertising, and other light or humorous pieces. It is inevitable that these more logical and phonetically correct spellings will eventually replace the archaic, anachronistic forms.

Making the $f$ sound with $p h$ is quite another matter. Almost all of the sixty or more commonly used words, from photo and phone to phobia and graph, plus countless scientific terms, are of Greek origin and are now deeply imbedded in our language.
phantom phonetic phosphorus photograph
pharmacy phoenix nymph
phenomenon triumph

We must wonder why the ancient translators chose to use $p h$ when the Greeks used a single letter $p h i$ ( $\Phi$ ) or why they did not simply use the $f$. Many languages, most notably Spanish, logically use the $f$ :

```
forografia farmacia telefono filosofico
```

Perhaps the change is already happening. Today, the name Stephen is more commonly spelled Steven, and we also have the unaccepted but very popular foto for photograph.

## CHAPTER 47

## Using wh and h

$H_{\text {undreds of english words use the wh digraph. It usually appears at the beginning of a word and never }}$ at the end. If it occurs in the middle of a word, it will most probably be part of a compound.
.... the board game of draughts is called checkers in North America and the word draught is now spelled draft.

Spelling rule \#1: When the $w h$ is followed by $a, e, i$, or $y$, the $w$ is pronounced and the $h$ is almost silent:

| whack | wheel | wheat | whiz |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| what | when | while | white |
| whale | where | whisper | why |

Spelling rule \#2: When the $w h$ is followed by $o$, some of the words have the emphasis on the $w$, but most will emphasize the $h$.

| who | whom | whose | whoop |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| whole | wholesale | wholly | whopper |
| wholesome | whore | whosoever | whoosh |

To say that the $h$ is silent in the majority of these words is not quite correct. A large number of English speakers pronounce these words with a soft, almost inaudible $h$ sound before the $w$. This is neither an affectation nor a mispronunciation. It simply reflects the history of almost all of these words and proves that change can sometimes take centuries.

Our Saxon forebears spelled almost all of these words with the $h$ before the $w$ and pronounced them that way.
hwa who havy why hweat what
hwit white hqeol wheel hwenne when
hal whole hwaer where

With the upheaval of the Norman invasion, the first two letters were reversed and the harsh initial $h$ was softened as the emphasis was gradually put on the $w$. A thousand years later, however, many of us still pronounce these words in the old way.

Words in which the initial $h$ is clearly pronounced are variations of whole, which did not have $a w$, or they are variations of who, which did not change. In some parts of Scotland, who is still wha. Today, no commonly used English word begins with $h w$.

Note that the $h$ is a very useful letter. Countless words begin with $h$, and it can also be found in the middle of numerous words. As the final letter in a word, however, it is quite rare. If we exclude proper nouns such as Allah, Utah, Isaiah, and Bar Mitzvah, we are left with a small handful of commonly used words.

| loofah | rajah <br> savannah | halleleljah <br> pariah <br> purdah | hurrah <br> hookah <br> chuzzpah <br> cheetah |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| messiah |  |  |  |

But half of these words have already lost the final $h$ and are now often spelled as follows.

| loofa <br> chutzpa | savanna | raja |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hooka |  |  |$\quad$ purda

Note that a wide selection of interjections end in the letter $h$ :

```
yeah!
oh! or oh? bah! pooh! huh?
```

The $h$ is often called a silent letter. This is partly true. When it is sounded, it is very rarely heavily aspirated. It is silent in most of the digraphs:

| chow | dhow | bough |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ankh | graph | rhapsody |
| ash | why | the |

Note that the $h$ is never doubled unless it forms part of a compound word:

| fishhook | highhanded <br> bathhouse | beachhead <br> withhold |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hitchhiker |  |  |

## Using of and off

$T$ hese two words are not true homophones. The preposition of has a soff $v$ sound, whereas the adverb off has a distinct $f$ sound. This puts of in the unique position of being the only commonly used English word in which the letter $f$ has the $v$ sound.

The simple preposition of indicates some type of relationship-distance, direction, origin, material, or identity.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { The number of miles } & \text { A dress of silk } \\
\text { The grass of the fields } & \text { One of the idle rich }
\end{array}
$$

The adverb off is a much more interesting word. Not only can it be used by itself, but it can also form compounds or be used as a prefix or a suffix. It indicates movement away from, something unsupported, or separation.

| off.price <br> offset | offshore <br> cook-off | playoff <br> runoff | off-therecord <br> off-the-cuff |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Spelling rule \#1: Off and of should never be used together.

$W_{\text {rong: }}$ He stepped off of the bus.
Correct: He stepped off the bus.

## Spelling rule \#2. Of should never be used in place of have.

Wrong: I could of gone.
Correct: I could have gone.

## CHAPTER 49

## Using et and ette

$T$ he French influence on the English language is still very strong. It shows itself not only in the roots of many of our words but also in present-day pronunciation and spelling. French spellings often lead to problems.

With the suffixes et and ette, the problem is when do we pronounce the $t$ and when do we pronounce et as $a y$ ? We must wonder what the rules are when we pronounce the $t$ in billet and ballot but not in ballet. Similarly, we pronounce the $t$ in banquet but not in bouquet.

Traditionally, the et ending is pronounced ay as in ballet, croquet, and crochet, and the ette ending is pronounced et, as in cassette and gazette. There are over three hundred English words that end in et and all, except about twenty, are pronounced et. Many of these words are of French origin.

| amulet | basinet | varlet | fillet | carpet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dulcet | bassinet | bayonet | billet | lancet |
| parapet | epaulet | facet | garret | violet |
| valet | pellet | ratchet | scarlet |  |

The following are some of the words that retain the $a y$ sound.

| ballet | chalet | soubriquet | cabaret |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cachet | sachet | bouquet | gourmet |
| ricochet | bidet | croquet | parquet |
| beret | crochet | buffet | cabriolet |

As for the ette ending, there are fewer than two dozen commonly used English words that are still spelled that way. A number of these are in the process of changing to the simple et ending.

| quartette | quartet | toilette | toilet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| quintette | quintet | kitchenette | kitchenet |
| septette | septet | briquette | briquet |
| octette | octet | cigarette | cigaret |
| palette | pallet | epaulette | epaulet |
| omelette | omelet | bassinet |  |

Clearly, the English language has enthusiastically adopted the diminutive suffix ette, but just as clearly the shorter et is preferred over the cumbersome ette, no matter how it was used in the original French. Following the healthy tradition of adopt and adapt, it has now been anglicized.

There are times when the French spelling and/or pronunciation must be retained in order to differentiate between homophones. When the $t$ is pronounced in fillet, it has a number of meanings in woodwork, dressmaking, and metal work. When the ending is pronounced ay, it usually refers to meat or fish, but even this difference is slowly disappearing. The word pallet likewise has numerous meanings ranging from a straw bed to a wooden support for freight. The paint board used by an artist, however, is spelled palette. The words kitchenette and dinette are purely 20th-century American inventions.

## Using less and ness

$T_{\text {he suffixes less }}$ and ness are extremely popular and deserve some attention because the spelling rules that apply to them are remarkably similar.

Off and of should never be used together.
Less is primarily a comparative and is most commonly used as an adjective suffix indicating a lack of something. Ness, on the other hand, is a suffix used to form abstract nouns.

Spelling rule \#1: The suffix less is added to a word without any changes. If the root has a silent $e$ it will be retained. If the root ends in $l$, the silent $e$ is retained. Change the $y$ to $i$.

| worthless | senseless | soulless |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| childless | useless | merciless |
| endless | skilless | penniless |
| tireless | tailless | pitiless |

Spelling rule \#2: The suffix ness is added to a word without any changes. If the root has a silent $e$, it is retained. If the root ends in an $n$, it is retained. Change the $\boldsymbol{y}$ to $i$.

| darkness | sameness | openness |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kindness | politeness | kindliness |
| goodness | thinness | heartiness |
| blueness | suddenness | heaviness |

Unlike full, these two suffixes do not drop the extra consonant. The double $s$ is retained, even when both suffixes are used with the same root word.
soullessness heartlessness worthlessness
When used as a separate word before a noun, less modifies only singular nouns of quantity. Plural nouns must use the word fewer.

Paul has less money than Peter. Paul has fewer dollars than Peter.
I take less sugar in my tea. I take fewer sugar himps in my tea.
There is less traffic. There are fewer cars.

## Using fore and for

The prefixes fore and for should not be confused with the preposition for nor with the number four. Also, not all the words that begin with for or fore have prefixes.

Fore means "before or in front of." There are a number of words that contain this prefix:
forecast forerumner forecourt forefont foreword

The prefix for often has a negative meaning of opposition or rejection. There are only about a dozen words in this group.
forbid forfend forget forfeit forlorn

With the $e$ and without $i t$, we have two words with quite different meanings.
forebear forbear foregoing forgo

Note that a number of other words begin with for or fore but the syllable is not a prefix:
forest fortunate ford force formation forensic

## Using anti, ante, anto

$T_{\text {hese prefixes can be confusing, but they are good examples of how one small letter can make a }}$ remarkable difference in the meaning of a word.

The Latin prefix ante means "before or in front of":
antecedent antebellum antediluvian antechamber
The Greek prefix anti means "against or opposed to." There are hundreds of words that use this prefix and new ones are created every day.

| anticoagulant <br> anticlockwise | antitank <br> antiseptic | antisocial <br> anticyclone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

But there is only one commonly used word that begins with anto-antonym, a word opposite in meaning to another word.

There are numerous other words that begin with ante or anti but do not contain either of these prefixes:
antelope antenna antique antics

Probably the most commonly used example of ante is the A.M. abbreviation we use to indicate the time before noon. It means ante meridian. This is the only time that the prefix is separated from the rest of the word.

## CHAPTER 53 <br> Using in, into, on, onto

In, into, on, and onto are often used incorrectly, not only in casual conversation, but also in formal discussion and in the pages of newspapers and periodicals whose editors should know better.

Some writers seem to think that in and into are interchangeable or have the same meaning. They are wrong. In states a place. The man was in the car. Into states a direction or movement. The man got into the car. Likewise, the common expression Go jump in the lake ought to be Go jump into the lake, but it's too late to change it now. Those of us who care about clear communication should always insist that The lady walked in the room means that she was already in the room when she decided to walk. The lady walked into the room means that she was outside and she entered the room.

Similarly, on means a location or position. The stamp was on the letter, but She stuck the stamp onto the letter means that she attached the stamp to the letter.

The word onto seems to be gradually vanishing. It appears less and less in conversation or in print and its place is being taken by the simple on which now serves double duty as position and action. "The passengers got on the plane," and "The passengers were on the plane," are both quite common now. This is unfortunate because if clarity in communication is our primary aim, then the misuse of one word that aids clear communication is to be regretted.

## The Schwa

$T_{1}$ he schwa, which is given as the symbol ə in the International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA), was introduced into English barely one hundred years ago. It comes from a Hebrew word meaning "no vowel," and was first used to indicate those instances where the vowel was not clearly defined but sounded vaguely like uh.

Probably the most commonly used example of ante is the A.M. abbreviation we use to indicate the time before noon. It means ante meridian. This is the only time that the prefix is separated from the rest of the word.

Somewhere in the middle of the 20th century, the humble schwa became immensely popular, and people who ought to have known better began to sprinkle it everywhere. Lazy lexicographers and careless editors stuck in a schwa wherever they thought it would fit. Needless to say, spelling, diction, and correct pronunciation suffered and have continued to suffer.

Because it has been so greatly overused, everybody should look upon the schwa with deep suspicion. There are countless examples in dictionaries and text books where the author has used a schwa when anybody who cared to pronounce the word correctly would see that it was not necessary. This infatuation with the schwa is merely a form of phonic laziness.

It cannot be denied that the schwa has its place. The endings tion and sion are usually schwas, as are most of the $l e$ endings and many of the er endings, but there are enough legitimate schwa sounds without phonic laziness producing more. Since poor pronunciation leads inevitably to poor spelling, both the teacher and the student should sound a word out carefully before deciding whether or not it contains a schwa.

# Silent Consonants: <br> $h, g, k, p, w, b, l, n, t, c, d, s, m, r, c h$ 

Most languages have one or two letters that may occasionally be silent, depending on the spelling or the punctuation. Thanks to its history of borrowing, however, English clearly has more than its fair share of letters that are sometimes not pronounced. In fact, almost all of the letters of the alphabet are, at one time or another, silent letters.

There are two main reasons why we have so many silent letters. First, the letter is part of the original word which has changed over time with, perhaps, the addition of affixes or compounding. The resulting words have left us with letters that we no longer pronounce. Second, imported words that have not yet been fully assimilated and anglicized sometimes contain silent letters. There are many examples of borrowed words that have been in our dictionaries for generations and probably never will be anglicized.

Because vowels are often silent, especially when they are part of a diphthong, we shall look only at the silent consonants. For the silent $e$, see Chapter 6.

The most common of the silent letters is the $h$, which can appear at the beginning of a word, in the middle, or at the end. It appears in an extraordinary variety of words and is usually followed by a vowel.

| honor | rhythm | wharf | pyrrhic |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| honest | rhubarb | dinghy | exhibit <br> heir |
| ghost | exhaust | catarrh |  |
| rheumatic | thyme | diarrhea | myrrh |

Almost as common is the silent $g$, which appears most frequently as the initial letter of a word but can also be found in the middle of a word. The silent $g$ is almost always followed by an $m$ or an $n$ :

| diaphragm | gnaw | campaign | design |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| phlegm | gnarled | sign | impugn |
| gnat | foreign | reign | poignant |

The silent $k$ usually appears at the beginning of a word and is almost always followed by $n$ :

| knack | knit | know |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| knot | knee | knuckle |

The silent $p$ is quite versatile. It can appear at the end, in the middle, or at the beginning of a word. The majority (but not all) of these words are of Greek origin:

| psalm | ptomaine psychosis receipt | raspberry |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pneumatic | pneumonia ptarmigan | cupboard | coup |

The silent $w$ most often appears at the beginning of a word and is usually followed by $r$, though there are exceptions:

| write | wry | aury | two |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wretch | wrong | playwright | answer |
| wriggle | wrap | sword |  |

The silent $b$ is usually found at the end or near the end of a word. In most cases. it follows the letter $m$ :

| lamb <br> plumb | limb <br> climb | tomb <br> comb | debt <br> doubt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The silent $l$ usually appears in the middle of a word and is followed by a consonant. It is most often preceded by the letter $a$ :

```
salmon chalk talk psalm should could
half alms calf folk yolk
```

The silent $n$ is almost always found at the end of a word and usually follows the letter $m$ :
autumn solemn column damn condemn hymn

If we exclude all the words that use $t c h$, we can see that the silent $t$ is usually preceded by the letter $s$ :
listen castle fasten whistle often mortgage
wrestle thistle rustle christen soften

The silent $c$ usually follows the letter $s$, but there are some exceptions:

| scent | ascend | crescent | muscle |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fluorescent | obsolescent | reminiscent | indict |
| science | conscience | scissors | czar |

Whether or not the $d$ is silent depends on how we pronounce a word. Most of us like to believe that we pronounce the silent $d$, but most of us do not. It usually appears before a $j$ or a $g$.

| adjudicate adjust <br> adjacent  | dredger | budget |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| handsome |  |  |

There is a tiny group of words that contain a silent $s$ :

| isle | lisle | chamois |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| island | viscount | corps (both the p and |
| aisle | chassis | thes are silent) |

The silent $m$ is quite rare-see mnemonic.
The silent $r$ is a special case. When it follows a vowel, it is sometimes pronounced and sometimes either not pronounced or voiced so softly that it is almost silent. It depends upon one's regional accent.

| argue | ford | frrst | from |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| other | ordinary | burned | heart |
| twrn | butcher | farmer | morder |

The $c h$ can produce a number of sounds. It can have its own $c h$ sound, the $s h$ sound, or the $k$ sound. There is one word in which the $c h$ is silent-yacht. Note that words that contain the silent $g h$ are covered in earlier chapters.

## CHAPTER 56

## Hyphens

$T$he hyphen is very useful when properly used. Unfortunately, it was heavily overused in the past and this has caused a reaction with some experts, who suggest that it be abandoned. It makes very little sense to throw out the baby with the bathwater (bath-water? bath water?) because the rules are quite clear.

Somewhere in the middle of the 20th century, the humble schwa became immensely popular, and people who ought to have known better began to sprinkle it everywhere. Lazy lexicographers and careless editors stuck in a schwa wherever they thought it would fit. Needless to say, spelling, diction, and correct pronunciation suffered and have continued to suffer.

The purpose of the hyphen is to join two words, such as light and house, to form a single word. At first, the hyphen was used and we had light-house, but after a few years the hyphen disappeared and we now have the compound word lighthouse. Not every noun and adjective combination should be made into a compound word or hyphenated. A red car is just a red car, but a sportscar is a special type of car and deserves to be a compound like sportsman. The spelling rule is quite logical.

## Spelling rule: The hyphen should only be used when its absence might cause confusion.

There might be confusion if a compound were to be joined at two identical vowels. Is it or is it not a diphthong?

| re-echo | re-enter | co-operation <br> anti-aircraft <br> de-escalate | co-educational <br> re-educate <br> co-operate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| semi-invalid | blue-eyed | de-ice |  |

When writing numbers in words, we hyphenate fractions and all numbers from twenty-one to ninetynine:

```
two-thirds three-sixteenths twenty-one ninety-nine
```

Combinations of more than two words should be hyphenated:
mother-in-law

ladies-in-waiting $\quad$| down-to-earth courts-martial |
| :--- |
| know-it-all |

We hyphenate a prefix that is used with a word that must have a capital letter or any other combination of proper nouns:
neo-Nazi
Anglo-French
anti-American
Italian-American pre-Victorian

When there may be confusion between words that are spelled alike except for the hyphen, the hyphen can make a difference in meaning:
re-cover recover re-sign resign re-creation recreation

The hyphen may occasionally be used for emphasis:
ex-wife ex-convict ex-member

Note that word blends are new words created by putting together parts of other words without using hyphens,
motel $=$ motor + hotel
brunch $=$ late breakfast + early lunch $=$ brunch guesstimate $=a$ good scientific guess

## Names

$G$ names have been modernized and anglicized over the years, but there are just as many that retain their ancient spellings. Such names can be both an inconvenience and a matter of great personal pride to their owners.

The names of foreign countries and cities do not always translate well, especially if they must be adapted from a different alphabet. When we browse through old atlases, we can see that the spellings of many cities and countries have changed a number of times over the years.

| Older | Newer | Older | Newer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peking | Beijing | Koweit | Kuwait |
| Canton | Guangdong | Koran | Quran |
| Kamerun | Cameroon | Akabah | Aquaba |
| Mecca | Makkah |  |  |

There are difficulties involved when we do try to make a foreign name fit English spelling. Sometimes the name is anglicized, and Italia becomes Italy, or España becomes Spain, but usually we attempt to produce something that is close to the original, no matter what the spelling. If we applied strict spelling rules to Iraq, for example, it would have to be Irack because in English the $q$ may not stand alone and a $k$ does not usually follow a short vowel. But Iraq it is.

Over the centuries, a whole host of names, both English and foreign, have become part of our everyday language. Thanks to Louis Pasteur, our milk is pasteurized. Thanks to Captain Charles Boycott, we boycott what we don't like. Thanks to a Cervantes hero, we consider some things quixotic. Thanks to a titled Englishman who wouldn't stop playing cards to eat his dinner, we eat sandwiches. There are quite a few fascinating books on this subject. Most of these name-words lose their capital letters as they cease to be proper nouns. The element einsteinium, for example, has lost it, even though the word Fahrenheit still retains the capital $f$.

Whether the spelling changes or not, whether the pronunciation changes or not, another useful word has been acquired and yet another exotic jewel has been added to that treasury of words that we call English.

## CHAPTER 58

## Linguistic Terminology

$W_{1}$hen we study English spelling, it is inevitable that we will encounter one or more technical terms. While the spelling is no great problem, the meanings of the terms are often confusing.

A homograph is a word that is spelled the same as another word but has a different meaning, which is usually evident in context. It may or may not have the same sound:
bear bear lead lead row row

A homophone is a word that is pronounced the same as another word but has a different meaning. It may or may not be spelled the same way.
heir air night knight pair pear

A homonym is a word that is both a homophone and a homograph because it is the same as another in both sound and spelling but has a different meaning.
bear bear spruce spruce chase chase

Antonyms are words that are opposite from each other in meaning:
ugly beautiful dark light fat thin short tall

Synonyms are words with the same, or almost the same meaning as other words. We commonly find synonyms in the thesaurus:
heavy weighty big large boring uninteresting

Heteronyms are words spelled the same as other words but have a different sound and meaning:

| read read lead lead | address address |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sow sow | invalid invalid | live live |
| contract contract | wind wind | refuse refuse |

A diphthong is two vowels that together create a single sound:

| aa kraal | eu aneurism | oo look |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ae Aeolian | ey prey | ou shout |
| ai pail | ie freld | oy coyly |
| au nautical | ii Hawaii | ua persuade |
| ay play | io action | ue true |
| ea peace | oa boat | uo fluoride |
| ee feed | ao pharaoh | uu vacuum |
| ei weigh | oe shoe | uy buy |
| eo eons | oi soil |  |

The digraph is two consonants that together create a unique sound:
ch sh ph gh th

Note that there is a great deal of confusion, even among the experts, over the exact meaning of many of these terms. Some see digraph and diphthong as the same thing. Some use homonym to cover both homographs and homophones. Others just lump all the terms together and call them phonemes and graphemes, words that sound alike and words that are written alike.

## CHAPTER 59

Acronyms

$O_{1}$
ne of the many marvels of the English language is the way in which it borrows words from any and every source and, if a word is not readily available, we manufacture a new one by compounding or contraction. Or we just make up a new word.

Acronyms are a special group of manufactured words that go through a process of assimilation that is often quite rapid, though sometimes they are never fully assimilated. The radar set did not even exist before 1940, yet today radar is almost a household word. The word is made up from the initial letters of Radio Detection And Receiving. During World War II, servicemen quickly called it RADAR, and it even more speedily lost its uppercase spelling and became radar. Ten years later, the Self-Contained Underwater Breathing Apparatus was invented, and, almost overnight, we had the highly popular scuba outfit. These are just two of the better known acronyms. The advent of the personal computer has produced a steady stream of new and exotic words, many of which started life as acronyms and rapidly became regular words.

The creation and assimilation of acronyms has created its own set of spelling rules.
Spelling rule: Brand new acronyms consist of capital letters with periods between each letter. Intermediate stage acronyms are still in capital letters, but they have lost the periods. Mature acronyms have split into two groups:
(1) Names or proper nouns, spelled in capital letters with no periods:

UNESCO NATO NASA
(2) Regular words with neither capitals nor periods:

| radar | laser | posh |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| flak | scuba | ram and rom |
| sonar | jeep |  |

Note that not all abbreviations become acronyms. For example, the UN, MI5, the FBI, and IRA are always pronounced as separate letters.

## CHAPTER 60

## Dictionaries

$A$good dictionary is indispensable. English spelling is so complex that nobody can claim that they have a perfect grasp and that they do not need a dictionary. But there is a huge variety of dictionaries. They come in all sizes and cover all subjects. There are scientific dictionaries and medical dictionaries, and there are dictionaries of almost every known language. There are dictionaries of proverbs, of quotes, of slang, of strange words, and of obsolete words. One might wonder if there is a dictionary for every subject under the sun.

Many publishers, both small and large, have produced a dictionary and many of them call their product a "Webster's dictionary." Because the name is not a protected name, as the name Merriam-Webster is, there is nothing to prevent any publisher from using it, and many do. Since no modern dictionary is even remotely similar to Noah Webster's original masterpiece, the name has no real meaning today.

The accuracy of a dictionary can not be taken for granted. A detailed study of any three or four dictionaries would very quickly produce scores of disagreements and even some contradictions regarding the correct spelling of some words. Unfortunately, when there is a difference in spelling, most dictionaries play it safe and offer the reader a choice of what is available with little, if any, explanation.

Modern publishers do not tread the path first blazed by Noah Webster. For the most part, they are merely compilers of word lists, content to stick to the status quo. They see themselves as recorders of what exists today with no duty to give more than the necessary facts and, perhaps, the etymology of the word. They sell their product by trumpeting the number of words they have listed and increase the size of the book by adding material that better belongs in an atlas or encyclopedia.

Few, if any, dictionaries attempt to influence spelling by coming down firmly in favor of a particular spelling that logically follows the spelling rules as against a spelling that is an aberration. Also, most dictionaries are hesitant, almost reluctant, to accept new spellings despite the fact that English spelling is constantly changing.

Noah Webster would be most annoyed. He saw his dictionary as a teaching tool to be used as a powerful influence on the language. He believed that a dictionary should lead, not follow, and that it should do so energetically. He forced Americans, and the world, to re-evaluate English spelling in order to make it more logical. If Webster had been content to simply list all the words as they then existedcomplete with illogical spellings-the American version of the English language would not be what it is today and Webster would have vanished into obscurity like the dictionary compilers who preceded him.

## Accent-American or British?

$R$Peaders who are learning English may wonder if they should try to acquire an American accent or a British accent. The answer is quite simple. Neither.

Today in England alone, there are over twenty regional accents that most Englishmen recognize and many more local accents that are not so easy to define. Educated, middle-class Englishmen attempt to speak standard, or BBC, English, but there is also the problem of class, a very important element in English life. Members of the upper class define themselves by speaking in an exaggerated manner, while the lower classes continue to use the regional accents. The end result is that the national language is badly fragmented and no single region may be said to speak in a "typically English" accent.

The pattern is similar in North America, where there are numerous regional accents that everybody recognizes and many less easily definable accents. Fortunately, North Americans do not have an upperclass accent to complicate matters. Most educated, upper- and middle-class North Americans speak what is called "middle American," while the lower classes tend to emphasize their regional accents. But there is no "typically American" accent.

The English have a saying, "If you hear somebody speaking perfectly correct English, they're probably not English." Obviously, the student will not attempt to copy any of the regional accents, but one pitfall that should be avoided is the affectation among the middle and upper class of turning many of the $a$ sounds into ah sounds.

The spelling rule is clear. "Unless it is modified by a silent $e$ or other modifying vowels, the $a$ is almost always a short vowel, especially if it is followed by a doubled consonant. The $a$ only sounds like $a h$ when followed by the letter $r$." For example: bar, car, far, jar, star, etc. These have the $a h$ sound, but class, pass, ass, grass, glass, etc. do not.

This affectation is quite popular, and the student will hear a great number of words that have a short $a$ pronounced as if the $a$ were a long vowel. For example: passport, banana, can't, example, plant, fast, glance, dance, chance. It becomes ludicrous when even the names of foreign countries are mispronounced and Iran, Pakistan, and Afghanistan become Irahn, Pahkistahn, and Ahfgahnistahn.

The English-speaking population of North America is well over 300 million, while the combined population of all the other English-speaking nations-Australia, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, South Africa, the West Indies-is less then 100 million. North America is also the greatest exporter of movies and TV shows and has the largest number of foreign students studying in its universities, so it is obvious that the North American version of the English language is the dominant version. There are two major pitfalls that the foreign student must avoid.

First, many North Americans have great difficulty pronouncing an internal $t$. Almost daily you will hear innernet (internet), innernational (international), innerested (interested), budder (butter), bedder (better), badder (batter), liddle (little), waiding (waiting), seddle (settle), gedding (getting), ledder (letter), and many other poorly pronounced words. The student should carefully pronounce the internal $t$ whenever it is supposed to be pronounced.

Second, in a great many parts of North America the sound of the vowel $o$ is changed to a. Hot becomes hat, got becomes gat, and Colorado becomes Calarado. This is often, but not always, a regional accent, and North Americans from New York to Toronto to Los Angeles can be heard mispronouncing the letter o in this way. The student should always take care to pronounce this vowel correctly, bearing in mind that if we hope for clear communication, enunciation is as important as pronunciation.

End
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